## **PREA Facility Audit Report: Final**

Name of Facility: Northwest Regional Reentry Center

Facility Type: Community Confinement
Date Interim Report Submitted: NA
Date Final Report Submitted: 11/25/2022

| Auditor Certification   |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.   |  | <b>7</b> |
| No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.   |  | V        |
| I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. |  | V        |
| Auditor Full Name as Signed: Robert Palmquist  Date of Signature: 11/25/2022  |  |          |

| AUDITOR INFORMATION          |                   |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Auditor name:                | Palmquist, Robert |
| Email:                       | robobem@gmail.com |
| Start Date of On-Site Audit: | 10/25/2022        |
| End Date of On-Site Audit:   | 10/27/2022        |

| FACILITY INFORMATION       |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| Facility name:             | Northwest Regional Reentry Center                    |
| Facility physical address: | 6000 Northeast 80th Avenue, Portland, Oregon - 97218 |
| Facility mailing address:  | 6000 Northeast 80th Avenue, Portland, Oregon - 97218 |

| Primary Contact   |                  |
|-------------------|------------------|
| Name:             | Mary Lindstrand  |
| Email Address:    | maryl@nw-rrc.org |
| Telephone Number: | 503 445-8178     |

| Facility Director |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| Name:             | Brian Martinek    |
| Email Address:    | BrianM@nw-rrc.org |
| Telephone Number: | 503 546-0472      |

| Facility PREA Compliance Manager |                  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Name:                            | Mary Lindstrand  |
| Email Address:                   | maryl@nw-rrc.org |
| Telephone Number:                | O: 503-545-8178  |

| Facility Characteristics  |                        |  |
|---|------------------------|--|
| Designed facility capacity:   | 150                    |  |
| Current population of facility:   | 96                     |  |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months:  | 90                     |  |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?                                       | No                     |  |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold?   | Both females and males |  |
| Age range of population:  | 21-82                  |  |
| Facility security levels/resident custody levels:   | Community              |  |
| Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:                       | 49                     |  |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 0                      |  |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:             | 2                      |  |

| AGENCY INFORMATION                                    |  |
|---|--|
| Name of agency:                                       | Northwest Regional Reentry Center Board of Directors |
| Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable): | BOP Contractor                                       |
| Physical Address:                                     | 6000 Northeast 80th Avenue, Portland, Oregon - 97218 |
| Mailing Address:                                      |  |
| Telephone number:                                     | 5035460470   |

| Agency Chief Executive Officer Information: |                   |  |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Name:                                       | Brian Martinek    |  |
| Email Address:                              | BrianM@nw-rrc.org |  |
| Telephone Number:                           | 503-546-0472      |  |

| Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information |                |                |                     |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Name:                                    | Garrett Heming | Email Address: | garretth@nw-rrc.org |

| Name.  | Garrett Herring | Liliali Address. | garrettri@riw-ric.org |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|  |                 |                  |                       |
| SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDIN  | NGS             |                  |                       |
| The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.  |                 |                  |                       |
| Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited. |                 |                  |                       |
|  | Number of stand | dards exceeded:  |                       |
| 0  |                 |                  |                       |
| Number of standards met:   |                 |                  |                       |
| 41   |                 |                  |                       |
| Number of standards not met:   |                 |                  |                       |
| 0  |                 |                  |                       |

| POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION   |  |  |  |
| On-site Audit Dates   |  |  |  |
| 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:   | 2022-10-25   |  |  |
| 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:   | 2022-10-27   |  |  |
| Outreach  |  |  |  |
| 10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?   | <ul><li>○ Yes</li><li>○ No</li></ul>   |  |  |
| AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION  | ON   |  |  |
| 14. Designated facility capacity:   | 120  |  |  |
| 15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:  | 90   |  |  |
| 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:   | 28   |  |  |
| 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?  | ○ Yes<br>○ No  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community<br/>Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Audited Facility Population Characteristics Audit   | on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the  |  |  |
| Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics  | on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit  |  |  |
| 36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:   | 83   |  |  |
| 38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  | 3  |  |  |
| 39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 22   |  |  |
| 40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  | 2  |  |  |
| 41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:   | 1  |  |  |

| 42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:   | 2  |
|--|--|
| 43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  | 0  |
| 44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  | 0  |
| 45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  | 0  |
| 46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:   | 26   |
| 47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  | 0  |
| 48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):  | No text provided.                                      |
| not tracked, located with racinitying certain populations).  |  |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteris  | stics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit    |
|  | stics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit 75 |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteris  49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of  |  |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteris  49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who   | 75   |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteris  49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who   | 4  |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characterist  49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the   | <ul><li>75</li><li>4</li><li>2</li></ul>               |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characterists  49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:                                 | <ul><li>75</li><li>4</li><li>2</li></ul>               |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics of staff, volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics of staff, volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics of staff, old contractors Population Characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:  52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:  INTERVIEWS | <ul><li>75</li><li>4</li><li>2</li></ul>               |

| 54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)   | ☐ Age ☐ Race ☐ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ☐ Length of time in the facility ☐ Housing assignment ☐ Gender ☐ Other ☐ None   |
|---|---|
| 55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?  | The Auditor selected random Residents from various housing units with various lengths of time in the facility. The Auditor interviewed both male and female Residents.  |
| 56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?  | • Yes • No  |
| 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):   | There were no issues with selecting or interviewing Residents. Residents were chosen from several housing units. Most of the interviews were conducted during the Evening Watch shift as Residents returned from work in the community.   |
|   |   |
| Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews  |   |
| Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews  58. Enter the total number of TARGETED  INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:   | 5   |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED  | Interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate able to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing w, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted ws an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview  |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:  As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted is cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulner questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews belo satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are immate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interview housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/   | Interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate able to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing w, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted ws an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview  |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:  As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted is cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulner questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews belo satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are immate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interview housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".  60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" | Interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate able to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing w, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted ws an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is |

| 63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:  | 0   |
|--|---|
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:  | <ul> <li>✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</li> <li>☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</li> </ul> |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | None of the inmates interviewed met this criterion.   |
| 64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:   | 0   |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:  | <ul> <li>✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</li> <li>☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</li> </ul> |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | None of the inmates interviewed met this criterion.   |
| 65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:                                     | 0   |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:  | <ul> <li>✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</li> <li>☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</li> </ul> |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | The Facility does not specifically track a Residents' sexual orientation. None of the inmates interviewed met this criterion.   |

| 66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:  | 0   |
|---|---|
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:   | <ul> <li>✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</li> <li>☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category</li> </ul> |
|   | declined to be interviewed.   |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).  | At the time of the Audit, there were no transgender residents in the population. None of the inmates interviewed met this criterion.  |
| 67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:  | 0   |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:   | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.  |
|   | The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.   |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).  | At the time of the Audit, there we no Residents in the population who had reported sexual abuse in this facility.   |
| 68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:   | 3   |
| 69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol: | 0   |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:   | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.  |
|   | The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.   |

| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).   | The facility is a community confinement center. There is no segregated housing/isolation unit. There is a room designated for Covid-19 positive Residents. No Residents at the facility during the onsite portion of the Audit had reported a positive test for Covid-19.   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):   | The number of required resident interviews for Community Confinement Facilities with a resident population size of 51-100 is 16 (Overall Minimum Number of Residents Interviews). The minimum number of randomly selected resident interviews is eight. The minimum number of targeted resident interviews is eight. The Auditor conducted one interview with a resident who had a physical disability and one interview with a resident who had a cognitive disability. During the onsite portion of the Audit, no residents were identified as Lesbian, Gay, or Bisexual. During the onsite portion of the Audit, no residents identified as Transgender or intersex. During the onsite portion of the Audit, no residents at the facility had reported sexual abuse. The Auditor interviewed three residents who had reported sexual victimization during risk screening. The Auditor conducted five targeted interviews and 12 Random interviews. |  |
| Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews  |   |  |
| Random Staff Interviews  |   |  |
| 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:   | 14  |  |
| 72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)   | ✓ Length of tenure in the facility  |  |
| Selected HANDOM STATT Interviewees. (Select all that apply)  | ✓ Shift assignment  |  |
|  | ☐ Work assignment   |  |
|  | ☐ Rank (or equivalent)  |  |
|  | ☐ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)   |  |
|  | ☐ None  |  |
| 73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of   | ⊙ Yes   |  |
| RANDOM STAFF interviews?   | ○ No  |  |
| 74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):  | Random Staff was selected from each shift (day watch, evening   |  |
| Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews   |   |  |
| Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the sp apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information we have a single staff member and the s | ecialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.  |  |
| 75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):   | 12  |  |

| 76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?   | ⊙ Yes  |
|---|--|
|   | ○ No   |
| 77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee? | ⊙ Yes  |
|   | ○ No   |
| 78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?  | • Yes  |
|   | ○ No   |
| 79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?                                   | © Yes  |
| Malayer:  | ○ No   |
|   | <ul> <li>NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise<br/>not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the<br/>Standards)</li> </ul> |
|   |  |

| 80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | ☐ Agency contract administrator ☐ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Medical staff ☐ Mental health staff ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches ☐ Administrative (human resources) staff |
|--|--|
|  | <ul> <li>☐ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff</li> <li>☑ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations</li> <li>☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations</li> <li>☑ Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ul> <li>☐ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation</li> <li>☑ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team</li> <li>☑ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation</li> <li>☐ First responders, both security and non-security staff</li> <li>☑ Intake staff</li> <li>☐ Other</li> </ul>   |
| 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?                     | ⊙ Yes<br>⊙ No  |
| a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:  | 1  |

| b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)  | <ul> <li>□ Education/programming</li> <li>□ Medical/dental</li> <li>□ Mental health/counseling</li> <li>□ Religious</li> <li>☑ Other</li> </ul>  |
|--|--|
| 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?  | ○ Yes ○ No   |
| 83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.   | There were no barriers to selecting or interviewing specialized staff.   |
| SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTA  | ATION SAMPLING   |
| Site Review  |  |
| PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring p whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonthe site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, impidentified with facility practices. The information you collect through the your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your access to, | audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The rocess that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine a national n |
| 84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?  | ⊙ Yes<br>⊙ No  |
| Was the site review an active, inquiring process that incli  | uded the following:  |
| 85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?   | ⊙ Yes<br>⊙ No  |
| 86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?  | <ul><li>⊙ Yes</li><li>○ No</li></ul>   |
| 87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?   | ⊙ Yes<br>⊙ No  |
| 88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?   | ⊙ Yes<br>⊙ No  |

89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).

PREA Audit notices were posted in the Front Lobby, Administrative Office bulletin board, Food Service, Unit Bulletin Boards, Case Management/Mental Health, and programming area. The Audit notice was posted six weeks prior to the Audit, and the posting of the Audit notice was verified by time and date-stamped photographs. Information detailing contact information for outside Emotional Support Services is posted in the Front Lobby, Administrative Office bulletin board, Food Service, Unit Bulletin Boards, Case Management/Mental Health, and programming area. Information detailing external and internal reporting methods is available in the Front Lobby, Administrative Office bulletin board, Food Service, Unit Bulletin Boards, Case Management/Mental Health, and programming area. Additionally, residents are provided with information in the handbook. Residents are provided information concerning third-party reporting; it is available on bulletin boards in the Front Lobby, Administrative Office bulletin board, Food Service, Unit Bulletin Boards, Case Management/Mental Health, and the programming area. The information is also available in the handbook and brochures provided to the resident population.

Staff were observed interacting with the resident population; supervisors were available to residents, and case managers and mental health staff were observed interacting with residents. No issues with understaffing, overcrowding or poor line of sight. Staff was observed making cross-gender announcements; there were many mirrors and cameras throughout the facility, and no specific concerns were noted with blind spots. There was excellent use of 360-degree cameras in several areas; laundry rooms had camera coverage, and hallways and dorm areas had excellent camera coverage. Cameras did not allow for the viewing of any residents while changing clothes, using the toilet or showering. Records were stored in fireproof cabinets and behind locked doors. Mail is delivered to the facility and dropped at the Control Center. Staff search the mail, and it is delivered to the housing units. Security Monitors type of a list of residents' names who have mail and residents receive mail from the Security Monitors. Legal mail distribution is logged as received. The main external reporting method available is the TIP line. Residents can call the TIP line from their phones or from the phones available in the Unit common areas. Most residents stated they would not use the phone in the common area as they have access to cell phones. The Auditor tested the TIP line with excellent results. Residents stated they could utilize a third party to make a report. Residents indicated they would not use a third-party reporter because there are so many avenues to make a report. The Auditor tested the third-party reporting method with excellent results. There is access to outside emotional support. There is information posted throughout the facility providing residents with access to information. Additionally, mental health staff indicated they would work with emotional support agencies to connect residents with community advocates as needed. The facility utilizes a language line interpretation service. All staff interviewed were aware of the service and how to use the service. Additionally, there are several bilingual staff available for Spanish-speaking residents. There have been no issues concerning interpretation services.

#### **Documentation Sampling**

supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative filesauditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record. 90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the Yes agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? No 91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting There were no barriers to selecting additional documentation. The additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you Online documentation provided by the facility provided sufficient oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, information to complete the PRE-Audit Review. The following is a etc.). sample of the documents requested during the onsite portion of the Audit. List of staff in the facility for selecting staff for interviews. List of inmates by housing unit to select inmates for interviews. Files of persons hired or promoted in the last 12 months to determine whether proper criminal record background checks and questions regarding past conduct were asked and answered. Documentation of background records checks of current

employees at five-year intervals. Documentation of sexual abuse and harassment reports and investigations. Records for inmates admitted to the facility within the past 12 months for evidence of appropriate screening within 72 hours. Records of initial assessment and reassessment for sexual victimization or

abusiveness risk. Records of inmates reassessed for risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. Documentation of any monitoring

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records;

## SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

efforts for inmates or staff.

#### Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

|                                      | # of sexual<br>abuse<br>allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on-<br>inmate sexual<br>abuse | 4                                   | 0                            | 4                                  | 0   |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse         | 2                                   | 0                            | 2                                  | 0   |
| Total                                | 6                                   | 0                            | 6                                  | 0   |

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

|                                    | # of sexual<br>harassment<br>allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0  | 0                            | 0                                  | 0   |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment  | 1  | 0                            | 1                                  | 0   |
| Total                              | 1  | 0                            | 1                                  | 0   |

#### Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

#### **Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

#### 94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

|                               | Ongoing |   | Indicted/Court Case<br>Filed | Convicted/Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|-------------------------------|---------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0       | 0 | 0                            | 0                     | 0         |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse  | 0       | 0 | 0                            | 0                     | 0         |
| Total                         | 0       | 0 | 0                            | 0                     | 0         |

#### 95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

|                               | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0       | 2         | 1               | 1             |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse  | 0       | 2         | 0               | 0             |
| Total                         | 0       | 4         | 1               | 1             |

#### **Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

#### 96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

|                                    | Ongoing | Referred for<br>Prosecution | Indicted/Court<br>Case Filed | Convicted/Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0       | 0                           | 0                            | 0                     | 0         |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment  | 0       | 0                           | 0                            | 0                     | 0         |
| Total                              | 0       | 0                           | 0                            | 0                     | 0         |

#### 97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

|                                    | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0       | 0         | 0               | 0             |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment  | 0       | 1         | 0               | 0             |
| Total                              | 0       | 1         | 0               | 0             |

### Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

| Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:  | 7   |  |
| 99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</li> </ul>                  |  |
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files   |   |  |
| 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:  | 4   |  |
| 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?  | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</li> </ul> |  |
| 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?  | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</li> </ul> |  |
| Staff on inmete covered abuse investigation files   |   |  |

| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:   | 2   |
|---|---|
| 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?   | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</li> </ul>  |
| 105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?   | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</li> </ul>  |
| Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Revie  | w   |
| 106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:  | 1   |
| 107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?   | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>   |
|   |   |
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files  |   |
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files  108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:   | 0   |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL  | O  Yes  No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)   |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:  109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL  | <ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate</li></ul>   |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:  109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?  110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate</li> </ul> |

| 112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?  | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>   |
|---|---|
| 113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?  | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>   |
| 114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.  | The Auditor reviewed all investigations that had taken place in the 12 months preceding the audit.  |
| SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION   | l   |
| DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff   |   |
| 115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | <ul><li>○ Yes</li><li>○ No</li></ul>  |
| Non-certified Support Staff   |   |
| 116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | <ul><li>○ Yes</li><li>○ No</li></ul>  |
| <b>AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AN</b>   | D COMPENSATION  |
| 121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?  | <ul> <li>The audited facility or its parent agency</li> <li>My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)</li> <li>A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> |

#### **Standards**

#### **Auditor Overall Determination Definitions**

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

#### **Auditor Discussion Instructions**

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

### 115.211 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### **Auditor Discussion**

#### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum pg 2 harassment definition 115.211 (a)3.
- 2. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum pg 1 115.211(a)4
- 3. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum summary of strategy 115.211(a)5
- 4. NWRRC 2.9 Sexual Abuse Prevention pg 26
- 5. NWRRC 2.10 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) pg 27
- 6. NWRRC June 2022 Org chart
- 7. NWRRC 2.10 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Addendum

#### Interviews conducted with:

- 1. PREA Coordinator
- 2. Facility Director

The Northwest Regional re-Entry Center PREA Policy (2.10 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Addendum) provides the Agency's approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. It has definitions of prohibited behaviors and sanctions for those prohibited behaviors. The policy provides strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual abuse. The policy also includes procedural guidelines, such as screening residents upon intake, training (for both staff and residents), reporting procedures (both staff and residents), intervention procedures and investigative guidelines. Finally, the policy provides for data collection and data reporting.

The overriding approach taken by the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center to eliminate or prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of its residents is to ensure uniformity of implementation of the Agency's zero-tolerance policy. This includes providing definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and prescribing sanctions against staff and residents who engage in sexual abuse or sexual harassment. In the event of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has developed a coordinated response plan that ensures the safety and security of the alleged victim while providing for a comprehensive and systematic investigation into the allegation.

The policy applies to all The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff, including employees (including full-time, part-time, temporary and on-call), volunteers and contractors, and residents at The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center utilizes the following strategies to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the facility:

- 1. Designating a staff member as The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center PREA Coordinator who will ensure that The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center is in full compliance with all PREA standards.
- 2. Training staff (including contractors and volunteers) to detect sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- 3. Screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness.
- 4. Requiring all staff (including contractors and volunteers) to promptly report all reported or suspected sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation incidents.
- 5. Respond promptly and effectively to all reports of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation by ensuring that staff (including contractors and volunteers) cooperates fully with any investigation.
- 6. Administer sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behavior.
- 7. Providing medical and mental health care to victims and abusers.
- 8. Performing an annual evaluation to assess how The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center can improve its zero-tolerance policy and procedures.
- 9. Ensuring that The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center is audited for PREA compliance every three years.

The PREA Coordinator indicated she had enough time to manage and oversee the implementation of PREA standards. The

Auditor reviewed the PREA Policy and the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Organizational Chart. In addition, interviews were conducted with the PREA Coordinator and the Director. The Director supported the efforts of the PREA Coordinator.

NWRRC 2.10 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Addendum and interviews with PREA Coordinator and Facility Director address 115.211 (a) and (b).

The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center complies with Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator.

| 115.212 | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents   |  |
|---------|--|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |  |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |  |
|         | This standard is not applicable. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center is a private nonprofit group; they do not contract with other agencies for services. The U.S. Government contracts with The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center for confinement beds. |  |

### 115.213 Supervision and monitoring Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion Documents** 1. PREA Staff plan 2021-2022 2. PREA Staff Plan 2020-2021 3. PREA Staff Plan 2019-2020 4. Walk through Risk Assessment 2020 5. Walk through Risk assessment 2021 Walk through Risk assessment 2022 7. Federal Bureau of Prisons Community Corrections Center Full Monitoring Report 2022 Interviews conducted with 1. PREA Coordinator 2. Facility Director The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center implements a staffing plan that provides adequate staffing levels. In addition to staff members, there is video monitoring available to protect residents from sexual abuse. The PREA Coordinator reviews the staffing plan yearly. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center houses residents released from the Federal Bureau of Prisons Facilities. The Federal Bureau of Prisons contracts the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center to provide Reentry services for these individuals. The staffing plan was developed in conjunction with the contractual requirements of the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The staffing plan is consistently complied with; there have been no deviations from the staffing plan in the past 12 months. The facility staffing plan is reviewed yearly; this review includes a vulnerability analysis that looks

at the physical plant, video monitoring systems and the overall allocation of resources. Interviews with the Director and the PREA Coordinator indicate that during each yearly monitoring visit (conducted by the Federal Bureau of Prisons), the Director and the PREA Coordinator review the staffing plan with the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

The Auditor reviewed the staffing plan. The Staffing plan review includes a review of any judicial findings (no) or inadequacies from federal investigative or internal/external oversight agencies (no). The plan reviews the facility's architectural weaknesses. The review includes a review of the population statistics for the previous year and a review of the population for the day on which the review took place.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff plan indicates a staff member of the same sex as the residents shall be on duty. This staff member shall be directly responsible for supervision that involves physical contact (pat searches) or activities commonly afforded reasonable protection against opposite-sex observation or supervision (use of toilet/shower facilities). The Auditor observed appropriate staffing levels throughout the facility.

The PREA Staff Plan 2021-2022, Walkthrough Risk assessment 2022 and the Federal Bureau of Prisons Community Corrections Center Full Monitoring Report 2022 address 115.213 (a).

Interview with Facility Director addresses 115.213 (b).

Interview with PREA Coordinator addresses 115.213(c).

The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center complies with Standard 115.213: Supervision and Monitoring.

| 115.215 | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
|         | Auditor Discussion                            |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |

#### **Documents**

- 1. 115.215 (a) Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work (SOW) page 76
- 2. 115.215 (b) Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work (SOW) page 76
- 3. 115.215 (a) NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 12.4 Search and Contraband, page 108
- 4. 115.215 (d) NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, Page 3
- 5. 115.215 (d) NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, Page 4
- 6. 115.215 (a) NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, Page 5
- 7. 115.215 (e) NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, Page 5
- 8. Pat down and Search Techniques: Cross Gender and Transgender Training Log
- 9. Guidance in Cross-Gender and Transgender Pat Searches Training Curriculum

#### Interviews conducted with

- 1. Random staff
- 2. Random residents

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center does not conduct cross-gender strip searches. There have been no circumstances in the past 12 months where a cross-gender search occurred. No residents are restricted from participation in any programs. Same-sex individuals conduct all pat searches. There have been no deviations from this policy. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has taken extensive care to ensure all residents shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing outside the staff's view. Staff announces their presence when entering a housing unit. The facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex resident to determine the resident's genital status. All staff (100%) have participated in training on searches of transgender and intersex residents professionally and respectfully. All residents interviewed on this subject indicated they are pat searched by same-sex staff. All staff interviewed on this subject indicated they are aware of the search policy and have not deviated from the policy.

Opposite-gender staff announces their presence when entering a resident housing unit. The Auditor questioned line staff during the tour about their announcements in the unit. All staff questioned during the tour indicated they made announcements every time they entered a unit.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has a policy and practice of searches conducted in accordance with the resident's gender identity and asking residents to identify the gender of staff with whom they would feel most comfortable conducting the search. Cross-gender pat searches are not conducted at The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center. The PREA Coordinator indicated that even in emergencies, a female staff member would be made available to conduct a pat search on a female resident.

The Auditor reviewed training curricula and formally and informally interviewed staff and residents on the issues of strip searches, bodily cavity searches, pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents and opposite-gender unit announcements.

The Auditor observed all areas where residents may be undressed, such as showering, using the toilet, and changing their clothes. All areas were private and allowed residents to shower, use the toilet and change their clothes without being viewed by opposite-gender staff. The Auditor observed electronic surveillance monitoring in the Control room; no cameras were located so that staff to see residents in a state of undress. The Auditor had informal conversations with staff regarding crossgender viewing; all staff interviewed indicated residents have ample privacy. Additionally, informal and formal conversations with residents indicated they were able to shower, use the toilet, and change their clothes without being viewed by a staff member.

The Auditor observed male and female staff make verbal announcements when entering housing units. The announcements were loud enough to ensure residents could hear. There was sufficient time between the announcement and the staff person's entrance for residents to cover up before the staff entered the room or area.

Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work (SOW) page 76, NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 12.4 Search and Contraband, page 108, NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, Pages 3-5, addresses 115.215 (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e).

Pat down and Search Techniques: Cross Gender and Transgender Training Log and Guidance in Cross-Gender and Transgender Pat Searches Training Curriculum addresses 115.215 (f).

The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center complies with Standard 115.215 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches.

| 115.216 | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient |
|---------|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard                                |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |
|         |  |

#### **Documents**

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Pages 6 and 7
- 2. NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 9.3 Mental Health and Physical Disabilities page 82
- 3. All staff new hire orientation checklist
- 4. Teleganguage Services Agreement
- 5. Procedure for Telelanuage Services
- 6. Security Monitor Orientation checklist
- 7. PREA Resource Room kiosk picture
- 8. PREA Women's dayroom poster
- 9. Lobby Spanish picture
- 10. Sexual abuse posters in Spanish
- 11. Spanish Resident manual

Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Agency Head
- 2. Residents with Disabilities
- 3. Random Staff

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has procedures to provide disabled residents with the opportunity to participate in the Center's efforts to prevent and respond to sexual abuse and harassment. In addition to written and visual education materials, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has agreements with interpreters to communicate effectively with residents with disabilities. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center does not rely on Resident interpreters.

The Telelanguage Interpreter services provide on-demand phone interpreting in 350 languages. Residents with intellectual disabilities are given extra attention during the intake process to ensure they understand the information. If necessary, the assigned Case Manager aids in this process.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff members have not experienced many incarcerated blind or deaf residents. However, the intake staff members are prepared to provide information in a format that will allow residents with limited reading skills, hearing disabilities, intellectual disabilities or low vision to know and understand all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff members will read information to residents with limited reading skills.

The Auditor interviewed a staff member responsible for intakes. The Intake staff indicated they not only provided PREA information to residents, but they would take the time to insure the residents understood the material provided. The staff indicated they had never had either a deaf or blind resident. However, they were prepared to provide information concerning the entire intake process to residents who were either blind or deaf.

The Auditor reviewed samples of PREA Posters in both English and Spanish. The PREA written information provided to residents is also available in Spanish. Finally, interviews with facility staff indicate a variety of methods are available to ensure residents understand the material as it is presented to them.

The Auditor reviewed the intake procedures, Resident printed materials, and reporting mechanisms. At the time of the audit, there were no Deaf residents in the population.

Intake staff is prepared to provide materials to residents who have limited sight. Documents in a large print font are available or can be quickly printed.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has an established relationship with the Telelanguage Interpreter services that can meet the language needs of its resident population. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center takes extra measures (one-on-one) when providing PREA-related material to residents with disabilities. Intake staff was able to describe what steps the facility takes to make sure that residents with disabilities, Deaf residents, and residents who are limited English proficient understand agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and know how to report and get help if they have been victimized.

NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Pages 6 and 7, NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 9.3 Mental Health and Physical Disabilities page 82, the Teleganguage Services Agreement, Procedure for Telelanuage Services and various informational posters, address 115.216 (a), (b) and (c).

The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center complies with Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient.

# 115.217 Hiring and promotion decisions Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

#### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 2.7 Background Information, page 24
- 2. Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work (SOW) page 24 (Volunteers)
- 3. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Pages 1 and 7
- 4. 115.217 (c),(e) BOP background approval memorandum
- 5. 115.217 (c) NWRRC Employee and Volunteer application checklist
- 6. 115.217 (c) (e) Volunteer Department of Human Services, Oregon Health Authority background approval
- 7. 115.217 (g) NWRRC Employment Application
- 8. 115.217 (b) Employee Performance Review annual PREA Check for employees Page 2
- 9. 115.217 (c) NWRRC Reference Check form

Interviews conducted with:

#### 1. Human Resource Administrator

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have been previously involved in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility or juvenile facility. Agency policy requires consideration of sexual harassment issues during hiring and promotion. Criminal background checks are required. Additionally, staff who have worked at correctional facilities are required to provide an institutional work history. That information is used to contact prior employers to detect any information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or a resignation pending investigation for an allegation of sexual abuse. Interviews with Human Resource staff confirm these efforts. There have been 23 new employees hired within the past 12 months; all criminal background checks were completed appropriately. Background checks are completed every five years for current employees, and employees who fail to disclose information concerning misconduct can be terminated from employment. Interviews with Human Resource staff confirm that five-year checks are completed, and that appropriate sanctions are available for staff who fail to report misconduct. The Auditor notes that criminal background checks on employees are required every five years and completed by the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Human Resource staff and Federal Bureau of Prisons Contract Oversite Staff.

The Auditor reviewed policies, application materials, eight (four new hires and four current) personnel files and one volunteer file. Initial criminal history checks, proof of required questions and, for current employees, five-year background checks were completed and documented. As indicated by the Human Resource Administrator, any deception, misinformation or misinformation by omission of information at any stage during the application and Employment Screening Process shall disqualify the applicant from employment with the Agency.

The Background investigation includes a review of the following criteria: Criminal History/Activity: Any applicant with the following criminal/traffic conviction history or criminal/illegal activity shall normally be disqualified from employment. Any Conviction of any crime involving engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community by using force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion is disqualified from employment. An NCIC criminal history check is completed on every applicant. The Federal Bureau of Prisons conducts this background criminal history check. The Federal Bureau of Prisons must approve all Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff before working with residents. Volunteers have background checks completed utilizing Oregon Criminal History and Abuse Records Database.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center considers any incidents of sexual harassment during the application process. Annually, all staff is required to provide written self-evaluations concerning whether or not they have engaged in sexual misconduct in a correctional facility, been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity by force in the community or if they have been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity by force.

NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 2.7 Background Information, page 24, Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work (SOW) page 24 (Volunteers), NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Pages 1 and 7 and the Human Resource Administrator interview addresses 115.217 (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) and (g).

NWRRC Employment Application, Employee Performance Review page 2 and Human Resource Administrator interview addresses 115.217 (f)

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center substantially complies with standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions.

| 115.218 | Upgrades to facilities and technology  |
|---------|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |
|         | Interviews conducted with  |
|         | 1. Executive Director (Agency Head) 2. Facility Director 3. PREA Coordinator   |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has not designed or acquired any new facility or implemented any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities since the last PREA audit. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has not updated the video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since the last PREA audit.  |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center completed a major remodel in 2016. There was extensive work done on the design of the building. A vulnerability study was completed, and the recommendations of that study were incorporated into the final design. Upon completion of the building, an additional vulnerability analysis was conducted with recommendations that included adding video surveillance to areas that would enhance the Center's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. The PREA Coordinator, Executive Director and Director, were involved in these vulnerability assessments. In her interview, the PREA Coordinator indicated the importance of utilizing technology to enhance the protection of residents from incidents of sexual abuse. |
|         | During interviews with the Agency Head and Facility Director, the importance of video monitoring technology was discussed. The facility utilizes video monitoring to enhance the residents' protection from sexual abuse. The use of video monitoring is extensive throughout the facility. In low-activity areas, cameras are activated by motion sensors. Recordings are temporarily saved on the hard drive. Individual incidents can be recorded and saved in a designated file on the network for documentation purposes. Transfer of video footage to other agencies may only occur if mandated by court order or by approval of the Director.   |

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies.

# 115.221 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

#### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Pages 11 and 12
- 2. 640.20 Sexual Assault Kits and Sexual Assault Investigations, Portland Police Bureau
- 3. Multnomah County District Attorney Victim Advocate
- 4. State of Oregon Guideline for Sexual Asssualts

Interviews conducted with:

#### 1. PREA Coordinator

115.221 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations. Additionally, the Federal Bureau of Prisons may conduct administrative investigations. The Portland Police Department conducts criminal investigations. The Portland Police Department has appropriate protocols to conduct sexual assault investigations. (640.20 Sexual Assault Kits and Sexual Assault Investigations, Portland Police Bureau)

All reports of sexual assault, sexual abuse, sexual harassment and voyeurism are investigated. Reports that include imminent risk to a resident will be addressed immediately. Any staff member who becomes aware of an incident, either through a report from a resident or an outside party, or has observed an incident, or has reason to suspect a PREA-related incident has occurred will complete a PREA Report form and forward it to the Executive Director, Facility Director and the PREA Coordinator. A PREA-trained investigator training will gather preliminary information to determine whether the Federal Bureau of Prisons or the Portland Police Bureau has jurisdiction to proceed with the investigation and notify the appropriate Agency. The Auditor notes that all PREA-related investigations at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center in the past 12 months have been conducted by Re-Entry Center staff.

A Resident accused of sexual assault/abuse is subject to the Federal Bureau of Prisons disciplinary process and an investigation by the Portland Police Bureau for potential prosecution.

The PREA Coordinator will begin documenting the investigation steps to ensure it has been completed. The victim or alleged victim in the incident will be notified of the investigation results. If the perpetrator is a staff member, volunteer or contractor, the Resident will be notified of the steps taken to avoid the staff member's contact with the Resident and the resolution of the investigation. Notification information will be documented in the packet of investigative information.

115.221 (b): The facility does not house youth. The State of Oregon Medical Guidelines for Sexual Assault Evaluation and the Sexual Assault Kits and Sexual Assault Investigations, Portland Police Bureau are appropriate for youth. Both sets of guidelines contain specific information for conducting investigations with youthful offenders.

115.221 (c): All victims are provided access to forensic medical examinations at a healthcare facility (Oregon Health and Science University or Legacy Emanuel Medical Center). Both facilities provide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners, and those examiners arrange for sexual assault advocates to meet with the victim. Sexual Assault Advocates and SANE are part of the Portland Police Bureau Assault Response Team. All residents who experience sexual abuse have access to forensic medical examinations at an outside facility without financial cost. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Page 12 states, "If the reported assault occurred within the past 120 hours, the alleged victim is eligible for a sexual assault exam, at no cost". Additionally, the State of Oregon Medical Guidelines for Sexual Assault Evaluation and the Sexual Assault Kits and Sexual Assault Investigations, Portland Police Bureau, indicate emergency services provided to victims of sexual assault are provided at no cost to the victim. No incidents at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center have required a forensic medical exam in the past 12 months.

115.221 (d) (e): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has attempted to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with "A Call to Safety". Call to Safety advocates are trained to provide sexual assault advocacy. Advocates offer emotional support, education, referrals to other agencies, information about support groups and help survivors understand their medical and reporting options. Advocates also provide in-person medical advocacy to individuals who have experienced sexual assault. Unfortunately, no Memorandum has been established. The Auditor notes this has been an ongoing process. Several attempts have been made to establish a Memorandum of Understanding.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center employs two mental health counselors who are available to provide services, and if a resident wants to meet with an advocate or other support services, an itinerary is approved for the individual to go out into the community for services. If the Resident prefers to meet the advocate or support services in the facility, arrangements are

made for a private meeting space.

115.221 (f): The Portland Police Bureau has an established Sexual Assault Response Team. The Portland Police Bureau protocols require advocates to meet the victim at the hospital. Ongoing services are provided throughout the hospital and legal process. The victim is provided information on community agencies that provide advocacy services.

115.221 (h): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center employs two mental health counselors available to provide services. Both mental health counselors have been trained in crisis response and trauma care. Every Call to Safety advocate is trained to provide sexual assault advocacy. Advocates offer emotional support, education, referrals to other agencies, information about support groups and help survivors understand their medical and reporting options. Advocates also provide in-person medical advocacy to individuals who have experienced sexual assault.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations.

### Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### **Auditor Discussion**

#### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Pages 1, 11 and 12
- 2. NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 2.9 Sexual Abuse Prevention, page 26
- 3. NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 2.10 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) page 27
- 4. NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual 2.11 Employee Misconduct, page 28
- 5. Aggregated Investigation Information 2020-2022

#### Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Agency Head
- 2. Investigator

115.222 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center ensures both administrative and criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Appropriate procedures are in place to ensure an investigation will be completed. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has procedures that require investigations by appropriate Law Enforcement Agencies, and staff at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center have been trained to conduct administrative investigations involving sexual abuse or harassment. If an administrative investigation potentially involves criminal behavior, the investigation is referred to a Law Enforcement agency. The Portland Police Department conducts criminal investigations.

115.222 (b): All PREA reports are taken seriously and investigated thoroughly by specially-trained investigators. When a PREA-related incident appears to be a crime, the Portland Police Bureau is immediately called to conduct the investigation. All reports of sexual assault, sexual abuse, sexual harassment and voyeurism are investigated. Reports that include imminent risk to a resident will be addressed immediately. Any staff member who becomes aware of an incident, either through a report from a resident or an outside party, or has observed an incident, or has reason to suspect a PREA-related incident has occurred will complete a PREA Report form and forward it to the Executive Director, Facility Director and the PREA Coordinator. A PREA-trained investigator training will gather preliminary information to determine whether the Federal Bureau of Prisons or the Portland Police Bureau has jurisdiction to proceed with the investigation and notify the appropriate Agency. The Auditor notes that all PREA-related investigations at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center in the past 12 months have been conducted by Re-Entry Center staff. Information about sexual assault investigations is posted on the Agency's website (https://nw-rrc.org/report-sexual-abuse/).

115.222 (c): The NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum page 1 indicates the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has zero tolerance relating to the sexual assault/sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents and recognizes these residents as potential crime victims. The agency will immediately respond to allegations, fully investigate reported incidents, pursue disciplinary action, and refer those who perpetrate such conduct for investigation and prosecution. A PREA-trained investigator training will gather preliminary information to determine whether the Federal Bureau of Prisons or the Portland Police Bureau has jurisdiction to proceed with the investigation and notify the appropriate Agency.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations.

# 115.231 Employee training Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

#### Documents:

- 1. PREA training requirements for New Hires and All staff
- 2. Reporting Obligations and Retaliation Protections Relias Annual training outline
- 3. Relias signature page example
- 4. PREA Training Outline for New Employees, Volunteers and Contractors
- 5. Employee Handbook (excerpt) NWRRC Definitions of Custodial sexual misconduct
- 6. PREA Report Procedures training outline for New, current and promoted staff
- 7. Training Records

#### Interviews conducted with

#### 1. Random Staff

115.231 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center trains employees on zero tolerance and an employee's responsibilities to prevent, detect, report and respond to sexual abuse and harassment incidents. Employees are informed of the resident's right to be free from sexual abuse and to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and harassment incidents. Employees are trained on the dynamics of sexual abuse in confinement, victims' reactions, and how to detect sexual abuse. Employees receive training on standards of conduct, inappropriate relationships with residents and how to effectively communicate with all residents. In addition, mandatory reporting laws are reviewed. The training is tailored to the residents at The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center houses both male and female residents. All employees have been trained, they are trained annually, and the Auditor confirmed the training records of the employees. All staff interviewed confirmed their participation in PREA training and knowledge of the training curriculum.

Employees are aware of The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and standard operating procedures. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center documents that employees understand the training they have received. The Auditor reviewed employee training records, and the PREA Coordinator provided copies of training records for the employees.

115.231 (b): The training is tailored to male and female residents at Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center. All new employees receive PREA training during the first week of employment and annually after that.

115.231 (c): Annual refresher training is conducted online and in person. A review of the training records indicates all staff has participated in Annual Training and recently hired staff was provided training before their assignment to a shift as a Security Monitor. The Auditor reviewed eight Employee Training records, and each file reviewed contained documentation on the date of hire, PREA Training Dates and Acknowledgement documents. The training records indicate that PREA training occurs on an annual basis.

115.231 (d): To complete the online Relias courses, staff must pass a test and score above 80% to receive credit. Upon completion of the test, staff electronically sign indicating comprehension of the training. The Auditor reviewed eight Employee Training records, and each file contained documentation of the date of hire, PREA Training Dates and Acknowledgement documents.

Staff members interviewed by the Auditor indicated a clear understanding of the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The random staff interviewed were able to recall information from the training, such as the zero tolerance for sexual assault and sexual harassment, professional and gender-specific pat search procedures, how to respond to sexual assaults and the first responder's duties. Staff members recalled how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents, the dynamics of sexual abuse in prison, and how to detect signs of sexual abuse. All employees have been trained, and the Auditor confirmed the training records of the employees. All staff interviewed confirmed their participation in PREA training and knowledge of the training curriculum.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with standard 115.231: Employee training.

# 115.232 Volunteer and contractor training Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

#### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC Contractor orientation agreement
- 2. Contractor Checklist confirmation of understanding training
- 3. NWRRC Volunteer orientation agreement
- 4. Volunteer Checklist confirmation of understanding training
- 5. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum pages 5 and 10
- 6. Sexual Misconduct Policy for Volunteers and Contractors
- 7. Volunteer Training acknowledgments

#### Interviews conducted with:

#### 1. Volunteer

115.232 (a): All volunteers and contractors are trained yearly. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has a zero-tolerance policy against sexual misconduct in all its forms, involving agency representatives and residents or resident-on-resident sexual contact or sexual harassment. The following behaviors are strictly prohibited: touching, hugging, kissing, sexual assault, penetration, fondling, inappropriate viewing, sexual conduct, sexual harassment, sexual abuse, sexual gratification, romantic relationships between agency representatives and offenders, or involvement between agency representatives and offenders outside the agency or professional context. No offender can give consent to sexual relationships. Sexual conduct viewed as consensual by the offender is strictly prohibited. Each Volunteer must declare in writing that they have read and understood the training and the PREA Policy before having contact with residents. All Contractors must certify in writing that all personnel who have contact with residents have understood the PREA Policy. Volunteers and Contractors are trained to report any information concerning sexual assault, abuse or harassment.

115.232: The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center ensures that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on PREA based on their services and level of contact with residents, as noted in NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum page 5. All volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents are notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Violations of the policy will result in termination and, if applicable, reported to law enforcement and appropriate licensing authorities.

115.232 (c): The Auditor reviewed four signed Volunteer Agreements. The Agreements indicate the Volunteer understands the policies for Volunteers and agrees to abide by the policies and procedures. Additionally, each Volunteer receives a copy of the Volunteer Handbook and the Resident Handbook. The Auditor reviewed one Volunteer file; the file contained information concerning the initial criminal history check, the five-year criminal history check and documentation on the zero-tolerance notification, how to report an issue of sexual abuse or harassment and documentation confirming the Volunteer understood the training received. The Auditor interviewed one Volunteer who indicated he had received training annually, and the training included information on zero-tolerance and how to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center does not have any contractors working at the facility at this time.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.232 - Volunteer and contractor training.

# 115.233 Resident education Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### Documents

**Auditor Discussion** 

- 1. Federal Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work page 44
- 2. Electronic Reader board information Zero-Tolerance and Reporting information
- 3. NWRRC Case Management Resident PREA training documentation example
- 4. NWRRC Intake Orientation checklist
- 5. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum pages 6-7
- 6. NWRRC PREA training at intake checklist example.pdf uncheck to remove
- 7. NWRRC Case Manager orientation checklist
- 8. Resident Handbook PREA information, pages 6-7

#### Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Intake Staff
- 2. Random Residents

115.233 (a): Residents receive information on zero tolerance, how to report sexual abuse and harassment incidents, their right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment, and to be free from retaliation for reporting incidents. In addition, residents are informed about how the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center will respond to such incidents. The receipt of this information is documented, and Residents sign for the information received.

Intake Staff interviews verify that Residents receive the appropriate information. In addition to this information, residents are provided a handbook that also provides information concerning Zero Tolerance and how to report sexual abuse and harassment. The Auditor confirmed all Residents received this information. Interviews with Residents also confirm that the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Staff provide information on reporting incidents of sexual abuse. The facility documents the receipt of this information. After the intake process, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Case Management staff provide additional information to residents concerning PREA, and this comprehensive education class is documented.

115.233 (b): All Residents who enter the facility participate in the Intake process, including those who transfer from a different community confinement facility. Interviews with Residents and Intake Staff confirm this practice.

115.233 (c): Interpretation services are provided for residents who may not understand the presented material. Resident education is provided in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled and those with limited reading skills. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has agreements with interpreters to communicate effectively with residents with limited English speaking skills. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center does not rely on Resident interpreters. The Telelanguage Interpreter services provide on-demand phone interpreting in 350 languages. Staff is prepared to read written information aloud, if applicable, to make accommodations for residents who may be Blind or have limited reading skills. Mental health staff provides information to residents with cognitive or functional disabilities.

The Auditor requested the Intake staff member access the interpretation services. This was easily accomplished via a phone in a private office. The procedure is written out, the Intake staff member contacts Telelanguage provides the Facility's PIN and requests assistance for a specific language.

115.233 (d): The Auditor reviewed a random sample of Resident files; each file contained documentation to support a resident's initial intake, the information concerning PREA that was provided during intake, and the Resident's participation in the comprehensive PREA education.

115.233 (e): Throughout the facility, information is posted about PREA, Zero Tolerance and how to report incidents of sexual abuse. This information is presented in both Spanish and English. This information is visible and readily available. In addition to posters and brochures, there are electronic reader boards in all housing areas and the facility's visiting area. Information is posted throughout the facility informing Residents about support services from a sexual assault advocate. The victim advocacy service for The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center is A Call to Safety. A Call to Safety advocates are trained to provide sexual assault advocacy. Advocates offer emotional support, education, referrals to other agencies, information about support groups and help survivors understand their medical and reporting options. Advocates also provide in-person medical advocacy to individuals who have experienced sexual assault. Resident interviews confirm this information is available; however, none of the Residents interviewed had contacted A Call to Safety. As a result, Residents could not provide much information about this organization except that the information is posted throughout the facility. Key

PREA information is continuously and readily available and observed throughout the facility via posters, handbooks, brochures, and electronic reader boards.

The Auditor was provided with a mock walk-through of the Intake Process. PREA information/zero-tolerance information is provided within minutes of the Residents' arrival. The Auditor confirmed that only those Security Monitors trained in the Intake process are allowed to conduct Intakes.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.233 - Resident Education.

## 115.234 Specialized training: Investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Documents: 1. Investigator Training Certificates 2. PREA Investigations training transcript Interviews conducted with: 1. Investigator 115.234 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center conducts administrative investigations involving sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Executive Director, Facility Director or designee with PREA investigator training will gather preliminary information to determine whether the Federal Bureau of Prisons or the Portland Police Bureau has jurisdiction to proceed with the investigation and will notify the appropriate agency (NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, page 11). 115.234 (b): The investigators have received training in conducting investigations in confinement settings. This training was online and coordinated by the National Institute of Corrections. The training title is "Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting". That training includes the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, evidence collection, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or criminal referral. The Auditor reviewed the training certificate and interviewed the Investigator. The investigator was aware of her responsibilities during an investigation; she indicated that the investigation would begin immediately upon notification of an allegation. Any allegation that potentially involved criminal behavior would require police involvement. The investigator stated she would review memorandums, collect as much data as possible, and write a report. The training reviewed good interpersonal communication skills with resident victims, assailants, and witnesses. Understanding of the dynamics of resident sexual violence. Establishing good working relationships with outside agencies, hospitals, prosecutors, and other investigators. The investigator noted that Anonymous or third-party reports would be thoroughly investigated as soon as possible. A third party or an anonymous tip would be treated the same as a direct report. The investigator indicated that if the incident were criminal, she would not collect specific physical and DNA evidence, she would aid the investigating Agency by providing victim and perpetrator information such as any pertinent central file information, information concerning prior complaints and

reports of prior sexual abuse and he would provide any information on prior investigations involving either the victim or the

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.234 - Specialized training: Investigations.

perpetrator.

## 115.235 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

## **Documents**

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum page 5
- 2. Mental Health staff training and certificates

#### Interviews conducted with:

## 1. Mental Health Staff

115.235 (a): NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum page 5 states that staff will receive PREA training during the first week of employment and annually thereafter. Training includes the following topics: NWRRC has a zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; all employees are mandated to report incidents of sexual harassment and sexual misconduct that involves resident-on-resident or staff/contractor/volunteer-on-resident incidents and will be instructed on the available channels to report such incidents; residents have the right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; residents and employees have the right to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement; the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse; how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents; how to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents; and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities.

The facility employs two mental health care practitioners who have both been trained on: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Additionally, both mental health care practitioners have participated in Continuing Education to maintain their professional licenses, including Trauma-informed care for victims of sexual assault, effective communication with LGBTQI individuals, and Mental Health Crisis Management.

115.235(b): N/A the agency does not have medical staff at the facility and does not conduct forensic exams. Forensic exams are conducted at the local hospital. Residents work with a Health Services Coordinator who coordinates medical appointments with community providers. The Medical Services Coordinator has received PREA Training, and the PREA training documented in the record meets the requirements of 115.231.

115.235(c): Training records for the two mental health care practitioners were reviewed, including training required to maintain licensing. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center maintains training documentation for mental health care practitioners.

115.235(d): Training records for the two mental health care practitioners were reviewed, and the PREA training documented in the record meets the requirements of 115.231.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.235 - Specialized training: Medical and mental health care.

## 115.241 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

## **Auditor Discussion**

Standard 115.241 - Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

#### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 5, 8 and 9
- 2. Mitigation Plan-Assessment plan example 1
- 3. Mitigation Plan-Assessment plan example 2
- 4. NWRRC risk assessment tool template
- 5. Federal Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work page 44

Interviews conducted with:

1. Staff who conduct Risk Screening

115.241 (a) (b): All residents are provided Risk Assessments upon intake. The policy provides that assessments are conducted within the first 72 hours. The Auditor notes that these assessments are done shortly after the initial intake. The assessment includes the mental, physical and developmental disability of the Resident, the age of the Resident, the physical build of the Resident, previous incarcerations, criminal history, prior sex offenses, whether the Resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender non-conforming, prior sexual victimization and the Resident's perception of their vulnerability.

The Auditor was provided with a mock walk-through of the Intake Process. PREA information/zero-tolerance information is provided within minutes of the Residents' arrival. The Auditor confirmed that only those Security Monitors trained in the Intake process are allowed to conduct Intakes.

Mental Health Specialists conduct Risk Screening within 72 hours of the initial intake. Risk Screening is conducted in a private office and ensures a high level of privacy. In his interview, the Mental Health specialist indicated that he asks screening questions conversationally to elicit honest responses. Mental Health staff determine a resident's sexual orientation based on perception. Specifically, is the resident, or could the resident be perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming? Based on the Mental Health Specialist's perception, he may or may not ask the resident affirmatively if they are gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming. The standard requires that each resident be specifically asked if they are gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming. The Risk Assessment form allows this question to be affirmatively asked; however, each Random Resident interviewee stated they were not asked, during screening, if they were gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming. This issue was discussed at length, and the PREA Coordinator and Facility Director both indicated a change in the Risk Screening form would be immediately made to ensure each resident is affirmatively asked if they are gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming. The Auditor requested a copy of the new Risk Screening Form and 15 completed Risk Screening forms to ensure all residents would be affirmatively asked if they were gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming. The form and the 15 completed Risk Screening Assessments were provided to the Auditor before completing the Interim Report. The Auditor is satisfied the facility now affirmatively asks each resident if they are gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming.

115.241 (c) (d): The Risk assessment is conducted using an objective screening instrument. The screening instrument includes:

- (1) Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability;
- (2) The age of the resident;
- (3) The physical build of the resident;
- (4) Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated;
- (5) Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent;
- (6) Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;
- (7) Whether the resident is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming;

- (8) Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization;
- (9) The resident's perception of vulnerability;
- 115.241 (e): To assess the risk of sexual abusiveness, the screening tool also reviews
- (1) Prior acts of sexual abuse;
- (2) Prior convictions for violent offenses; and
- (3) History of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known to the agency.
- 115.241 (f) (g): Residents are reassessed within 30 days or before 30 days if additional information is received. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 9 states: "Within 30 days of arrival, each resident will be reviewed during an individual program plan meeting by their Case Manager to determine if there is additional information or concerns about the resident's potential for perpetration or victimization." A review will also follow an incident of victimization or perpetration to determine a plan to mitigate further risks, which will be documented in the "Action Plan" section of the PREA assessment form.
- 115.241 (h): NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 9 indicates residents will not be disciplined for refusing to provide answers or for providing incomplete answers regarding their mental, physical or developmental disability; sex offender status, history of victimization, resident's perception of vulnerability; or their sexual orientation.
- 115.241 (i): All information gathered during intake is shared with only those staff that need to know. Sensitive information is not shared unnecessarily. The agency has outlined who can access the resident's risk assessment to protect sensitive information from exploitation. The file is stored electronically, and only those at the administrative level have access to the file. During the interview with the PREA Coordinator, she stated that the information from risk screenings is stored electronically to protect sensitive information from exploitation. The Auditor observed that documentation collected and maintained in hard copy pursuant to risk screening was secured in locked file cabinets behind locked doors. Electronic files were stored on the network, requiring permission access. All computers observed by the Auditor were password protected. Finally, access to the network is password protected.

Interviews with Mental Health staff confirmed the use of the assessment tool. In addition, resident interviews indicated the use of the assessment tool.

The Auditor reviewed 15 resident files. The files contained the appropriate Intake and Risk Assessment forms, signed and dated by staff and residents.

The Auditor notes that if a resident identifies as transgender, the Mental Health staff include information that indicates the resident's preferred gender identification, name preference, where they would prefer to be housed (male or female unit) and if they prefer a male or female officer to conduct pat searches.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.241 - Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness.

## 115.242 Use of screening information Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion Documents** 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 4-9 Interviews conducted with: 1. PREA Coordinator 2. Staff who conduct Risk Assessments 115.242(a): NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 8 and 9 indicate that when mental health staff identifies a concern about vulnerability or potential perpetration, they will notify the PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator will disseminate information to the appropriate staff to determine a plan of action to mitigate risks. During the interview with the PREA Coordinator, she stated that the information from risk screening would be used to create an individualized mitigation plan to ensure resident safety. The Executive Director, Assistant Director, and PREA Coordinator would have access to the plan. During an interview with mental health staff, they stated that the information from the risk screening would be used to determine housing, programs, and work assignments. 115.242(b): The facility uses the information from the risk screening to make individualized mitigation plans to ensure resident safety. Including placing the resident in a single room or housing them near security staff. 115.242(c): NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 4 and 8 indicates that a management team will meet before housing a transgender resident to develop a plan to ensure the safety and dignity of the resident. During the interview with the PREA Coordinator, she stated that generally, they would utilize a private room with its own bathroom and shower near the security desk to house transgender residents so staff can more easily monitor safety issues. Transgender residents are informed that they have the option to choose the gender of staff to conduct pat downs and UAs. 115.242(d): During the interview with the PREA Coordinator, it was confirmed that the facility would give serious consideration to a transgender or intersex resident's views with respect to their safety when considering placement and programming assignments. During an interview with mental health staff, they also stated that a transgender or intersex resident's views of their safety would be seriously considered in placement and programming assignments. This would include appropriate housing and the ability to shower separately from other residents. 115.242(e): During interviews with the PREA Coordinator and mental health staff, it was confirmed that transgender and intersex residents are allowed to shower separately from other residents. This is generally accomplished by placing them in a

private room that has its own bathroom and shower.

115.242(f): The Northwest Region Re-Entry Center does not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents in dedicated units based on identification or status. Residents who may be at risk for victimization are placed in rooms that have bathrooms and showers. This allows residents at risk for victimization to shower alone.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.242 - Use of screening information

# 115.251 Resident reporting Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

## Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 9-11
- 2. NWRRC PREA training Outline.pdf uncheck to remove
- 3. Trauma Intervention Program of Portland/Vancouver (TIPNW, Inc.) Memorandum of Understanding
- 4. NWRRC PREA Staff Training PREA Report Procedures outline
- 5. PREA verbal report example
- 6. Resident Handbook pages 6-7
- 7. Resident Brochure

## Interviews conducted with

- 1. Random Residents
- 2. Random Staff

115.251 (a): Residents have multiple ways to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. There is an external PREA hotline that is not recorded. They can report verbally or in writing. Third-party reports can be made to any staff or The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center headquarters. Residents may file a grievance or contact the U.S. Office of Inspector General. Staff can privately report to a supervisor or headquarters or use the TIP line. These multiple reporting methods are posted throughout the facility, available in the handbook, and reviewed with the Resident during intake and subsequent follow-up with the Case Manager. Resident interviews confirm knowledge of the reporting procedures. Staff interviews confirm knowledge of reporting procedures. Resident reporting methods are outlined in NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum pages 9-10, the Resident Handbook pages 6-7 and the Resident Brochure.

115.251(b): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has established a Memorandum of Understanding with the Trauma Intervention Program of Portland/Vancouver (TIPNW, Inc.). The Trauma Intervention Program of Portland/Vancouver provides the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center residents with an option of reporting instances of sexual assault, sexual abuse and sexual harassment that they have experienced or witnessed while previously incarcerated or residing at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center. This agreement and collaboration between the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center and TIPNW, Inc. provides residents with an avenue to report PREA-related incidents to a private entity that is not part of the agency. Trauma Intervention Program Northwest, Inc., accepts telephone calls from NWRRC residents who want to report incidents of sexual assault, sexual abuse or sexual harassment they have witnessed or experienced at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center or any correctional facility where they have been housed. Phone calls are documented and forwarded to the PREA Coordinator, with a copy to the Executive Director via email. If the reported incident occurred at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center, immediately call the PREA Coordinator or the Executive Director so appropriate steps can be taken to provide for the alleged victim's safety, medical care and psychological care.

The Auditor tested the phones at the facility, and each phone tested did have a dial tone. The Auditor notes that Residents at the facility have cell phones. During informal conversations with Residents, the Residents indicated that they do not use the phones at the facility; they use their own cell phones. The Auditor called the TIP line, and the representative took the information from the Auditor and reported the phone call to the PREA Coordinator.

115.251 (c): NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 11 states that staff must take reports from residents and immediately report information, knowledge, and suspected or known sexual assault or harassment incidents. Any staff member who becomes aware of an incident, either through a report from a Resident or third party or observed an incident, will complete a PREA Report form and forward it to the Executive Director, Facility Director and the PREA Coordinator.

115.251 (d): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Staff can privately report information concerning sexual assault or harassment to their supervisor, Human Resources, Facility Director or Executive Director. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 11) Privacy will be ensured when staff members report incidents; if retaliation occurs, staff are informed that they can report an issue to a Board Member.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.251 - Resident reporting

| 115.252 | Exhaustion of administrative remedies         |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
|         | Auditor Discussion                            |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |
|         |   |

## Documents:

- 1. Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.018 Administrative Remedy Program
- 2. NWRRC informal grievance form
- 3. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum pages 9-10
- 4. NWRRC Policy and Procedures/Operations Manual Chapter 14 Administrative Remedy, page 116
- 5. Federal Bureau of Prisons Grievance Form
- 6. Federal Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work, Chapter 14, Administrative Remedy, page 85
- 7. Resident Handbook Page 6, Grievance information

115.252 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center is required to participate in the Federal Bureau of Prisons Administrative Remedy Program.

115.252(b): Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, Administrative Remedy Program, addresses the standard's requirements. Grievances (administrative remedies) filed alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment would immediately open a formal investigation. The procedure allows a Resident to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse, regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. The agency procedure does not require a Resident to use an informal grievance process or attempt to resolve an alleged incident of sexual abuse with staff. (Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, pages 1-7).

115.252 (c): The procedure requires that an inmate grievance alleging sexual abuse will not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The Resident is not required to submit the grievance to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. (Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, page 14).

115.252 (d): The Agency procedure requires that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the grievance filing. Computation of the 90 days shall not include time consumed by Residents during an administrative appeal. The Agency may request an extension of time to respond, of up to 70 days, if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The Agency always notifies the inmate in writing when the agency files for an extension, including notice of the date by which a decision will be made. (Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, page 14).

115.252 (e): Agency procedure permits third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist the inmate in filing requests for administrative remedy relating to allegations of sexual abuse and to file such requests on behalf of inmates. Agency procedure requires that the agency documents the inmate's decision to decline if the inmate declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse. (Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, page 14).

115.252 (f): The Agency has a procedure for filing an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The Agency procedure for emergency grievances alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires an initial response within 48 hours. Agency procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requires a final agency decision issued within five days. Best efforts are made to provide Regional Office and Central Office expedited appeal responses within five calendar days. If an inmate reasonably believes the issue is sensitive and the inmate's safety or well-being would be placed in danger if the remedy became known at the institution, the inmate may submit the remedy directly to the appropriate Regional Office. (Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, pages 15-16).

115.252 (g): The Agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline an inmate for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the Agency demonstrates the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith. (Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 1330.18, page 16).

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with the Federal Bureau of Prison's procedures for Residents' to formally present their issues of concern to appropriate Federal Bureau of Prisons staff through the Administrative Remedy Procedures. The policy (1330.18) and the Administrative Remedy Procedures are posted in prominent locations in the facility and are accessible to all Residents. The name, address, and telephone numbers of the Residential Reentry Manager (RRM) and other authorities with jurisdiction are conspicuously posted on bulletin boards throughout the facility. The auditor's review of the Inmate Handbook reveals an overview of reporting sexual abuse/harassment via the formal grievance procedure.

The PREA Coordinator reports zero grievances filed in the past 12 months that alleged sexual abuse. The PREA Coordinator reports zero emergency grievances alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse in the past 12 months. The PREA Coordinator reports zero third-party claims on behalf of Residents have been filed in the last 12 months.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.252 - Exhaustion of administrative remedies.

## 115.253 Resident access to outside confidential support services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### **Auditor Discussion**

### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC Orientation/Counseling Limits of Confidentiality
- 2. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum pages 12, 13 and 14
- 3. Federal Bureau of Prisons Statement of Work, Medical Services, page 91
- 4. NWRRC Release of Confidential Information form
- 5. PREA Brochure for Residents

Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Random Residents
- 2. PREA Coordinator
- 3. Mental Health Specialist

115.253 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center provides information to Residents concerning sexual assault advocacy. Residents are provided with access to victim advocates for emotional support services. The mailing address and toll-free telephone numbers are available for A Call to Safety. Call to Safety advocates are trained to provide sexual assault advocacy on the crisis line. Advocates offer emotional support, education, referrals to other agencies, information about support groups and help survivors understand their medical and reporting options. Advocates also provide in-person medical advocacy to individuals who have experienced sexual assault.

During the site review, the auditor observed posted or printed information throughout the facility concerning access to outside victim emotional support services. The information provided was readable and accessible.

115.253 (b) The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center informs residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. All Residents sign an Orientation/Counseling Limits of Confidentiality form. This form documents the Residents' understanding of Health Information Protection, the limits to confidentiality, and the extent to which reports of abuse or crimes will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. The Auditor notes that phones at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center are not recorded and that Residents rely on personal cell phones for communication. Further, Call to Safety's text and phone services are confidential, and text or chat records are not maintained.

115.253 (c): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has attempted to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with Call to Safety. Call to Safety advocates are trained to provide sexual assault advocacy. Advocates offer emotional support, education, referrals to other agencies, information about support groups and help survivors understand their medical and reporting options. Advocates also provide in-person medical advocacy to individuals who have experienced sexual assault. Unfortunately, no Memorandum of Understanding has been established. The Auditor notes this has been an ongoing process. Several attempts have been made to establish a Memorandum of Understanding.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center employs two mental health counselors who are available to provide services, and if a resident wants to meet with an advocate or other support services, an itinerary is approved for the individual to go out into the community for services. If the Resident prefers to meet the advocate or support services in the facility, arrangements are made for a private meeting space.

Resident interviews confirm Call to Safety information is available; however, none of the Residents interviewed had contacted Call to Safety. As a result, Residents could not provide much information about this organization except that the information is posted throughout the facility.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.253 - Resident access to outside confidential support services.

| 115.254 | Third party reporting  |
|---------|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |
|         | Documents:   |
|         | <ol> <li>NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 9</li> <li>NWRRC web page (https://nw-rrc.org) Report Sexual Abuse</li> <li>NWRRC Resident Handbook page 6</li> <li>PREA Form Submission (Auditors test of Third Party Reporting)</li> </ol>   |
|         | 115.254 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has established a Memorandum of Understanding with the Trauma Intervention Program of Portland/Vancouver (TIPNW, Inc.). The Trauma Intervention Program of Portland/Vancouver provides the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center residents and members of the public with an option for reporting sexual assault, sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This agreement and collaboration between the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center and TIPNW, Inc. provides an avenue to report PREA-related incidents to a private entity that is not part of the agency.   |
|         | The Northwest Residential Re-Entry Center website (https://nw-rrc.org/report-sexual-abuse/) provides specific information to the public concerning how to report an incident of abuse or harassment at the Center. Additionally, the public is provided with direct contact information for the PREA Coordinator. The Auditor notes this method of reporting is also available to Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center residents. Third-party reporting methods are posted in public areas of the facility that can be accessed by family members, friends, advocates, and attorneys. The Auditor tested the third-party reporting method utilizing the same method provided to the public. The Auditor received confirmation concerning the test of the third-party reporting method. Third-party reporting methods are easily found on the Agency website. |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.254 - Third-party reporting.   |

| 115.261 | Staff and agency reporting duties   |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |  |  |  |  |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Documents:  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum pages 10-11  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Interviews conducted with:  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 1. Random Staff 2. Mental Health Staff 3. PREA Coordinator 4. Facility Director   |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.261 (a): Staff must take reports from residents, and all staff members are mandated to report suspected or known sexual assault/abuse/harassment incidents. Staff will report information to their supervisor, Human Resources, Operations Manager, Facility Director or Executive Director. Privacy will be ensured when reports are given. Individuals who make reports are informed that any retaliatory behaviors must also be reported. Additionally, any failure or actions that may have contributed to the incident must be reported. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 11) |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.261 (b): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Staff are prohibited from sharing information on a PREA incident except with those conducting an investigation or providing care for the alleged victim. Information can be shared on an as-needed basis to maintain the safety and security of those living or working in the facility. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 10)   |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.261 (c): The Mental Health Counselor indicated during his interview that he always provides Residents with information concerning his duty to report incidents of sexual abuse or harassment and the limits of confidentiality. The Mental Health Counselor further stated he was required to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment to the Security Manager.  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.261 (d): The Director and the PREA Coordinator indicated they would contact the Department of Health Services, Oregon Health Authority and report incidents that involve vulnerable adults.   |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.261 (e): The Director indicated in his interview that all sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations, including those from third-party or anonymous sources, are reported directly to facility investigators. Residents, family members or community members can report sexual assault or harassment incidents. A third-party report in person, via telephone, or email would require the staff member receiving the report to document the information on a PREA Report Form and report the incident to the supervisor, who would initiate the investigation.                      |  |  |  |  |

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.261 - Staff and agency reporting duties.

| 115.262 | Agency protection duties   |
|---------|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |
|         | Documents:   |
|         | 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 9  |
|         | Interviews conducted with:   |
|         | 1. Random Staff 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Facility Director 4. Agency Head  |
|         | 115.262 (a): A review of NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 9 and interviews with the PREA Coordinator, Facility Director, Agency Head and Random Staff demonstrated the appropriate protective measures that would be taken if a Resident was at imminent risk of sexual abuse. Additionally, all staff interviewed indicated specific knowledge of the protective measures that should be taken if a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Staff who receive an initial report of sexual misconduct are required to promptly intervene on the alleged Victim's behalf to ensure the Victim receives prompt medical and mental health according to their needs and the circumstances of the alleged offense. Staff interviews revealed that staff members were formally trained on and understand how to ensure residents are kept safe in the event they are at risk for imminent sexual abuse. Upon receipt of information that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff indicated immediate action would be taken. Specifically, at a minimum, housing and programming changes would be initiated to separate or limit a threat between residents. All the random staff interviews indicated a similar answer. |
|         | The PREA Coordinator reported one incident in the past 12 months in which a resident was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. In this case, the Victim was separated from the Prepretrator immediately upon notification to a staff member. An interview by a PREA Trained Investigator was conducted with the Victim within 90 minutes of the incident. The Perpetrator was terminated from the Northwest Regional Re-Entry program and subsequently disciplined for sexual assault of any person involving non-consensual touching without force or threat of force.  |

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.262 - Agency protection duties.

| 115.263 | Reporting to other confinement facilities   |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |
|         | Documents:  |
|         | 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 4 and page 12   |
|         | Interviews conducted with:  |
|         | 1. Agency Head 2. Facility Director 3. PREA Coordinator   |
|         | 115.263 (a): When a resident reports they were sexually abused while confined at another facility, the PREA Coordinator shall notify the Executive Director or his designee. The PREA Coordinator will document and report the information to the facility where the alleged incident occurred within 72 hours of knowledge of the report. Reports of incidents that occurred at another facility, regardless of the timeframe, will be documented on the PREA Report form and forwarded to the PREA Coordinator. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 4 and page 12) During the past 12 months, there have been zero allegations the facility received that a resident was abused while confined at another facility. |
|         | 115.263 (b): The PREA Coordinator will document and report the information to the facility where the alleged incident occurred within 72 hours of knowledge of the report. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 4)   |
|         | 115.263 (c): The PREA Coordinator documents the referral as indicated in the PREA Policy Addendum, page 4.  |
|         | 115.263 (d): The Northwest Residential Re-Entry Center will respond to reports from other facilities or agencies regarding sexual assault or harassment utilizing the same procedures in reports received in the facility. During interviews with the Agency Head and the Facility Director, they related that when they receive a report from another facility, they would staff the investigation the same way as any other report and contact the reporting facility with the results.   |
|         | In the past 12 months, there have been zero allegations of sexual abuse the facility received from other facilities.  |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.263 - Reporting to other confinement facilities.  |

# 115.264 Staff first responder duties Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 12

Interviews conducted with:

1. Random Staff

115.264 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has established procedures to respond to sexual abuse and harassment allegations. A first responder is required to: Separate the alleged Victim and abuser; Preserve and protect the crime scene; request the alleged Victim not to destroy evidence, and ensure the alleged abuser does not destroy evidence. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 12) The PREA Coordinator reported one incident in the past 12 months in which a resident was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. In this case, the Victim was separated from the Prepretrator immediately upon notification to a staff member. An interview by a PREA Trained Investigator was conducted with the Victim within 90 minutes of the incident. The Perpetrator was terminated from the Northwest Regional Re-Entry program and subsequently disciplined for sexual assault of any person involving non-consensual touching without force or threat of force.

115.264 (b): A non-security staff responder must first request the Victim not to destroy evidence and then notify a Security Monitor or the Security Supervisor (PREA Coordinator). (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 12)

As noted in the NWRRC 2.10, Prea Policy Addendum: Staff who receives an initial report of sexual misconduct is identified as the First Responder and has the following responsibilities:

- Separate the Victim from the alleged assailant to protect the Victim and prevent further violence.
- Enlist the assistance of co-workers to secure the crime scene, and notify the Executive Director, Facility Director or Security Manager for further instructions on responding to a potential crime and responding to the alleged Victim's needs
- Document the incident on the PREA Report form.
- Encourage the Victim and the Perpetrator to refrain from showering, brushing their teeth, using the toilet, smoking, drinking, eating, and changing clothes.

After a victim has reported an incident of sexual abuse/sexual assault, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff will ensure that they receive medical care and are offered advocacy services, counseling or other appropriate support services. If the reported assault occurred within the past 120 hours, the alleged Victim is eligible for a sexual assault exam at no cost to them, including a rape kit. If the reported assault occurred after 120 hours, the resident is eligible for a Medical Exam at an emergency room of the resident's choosing to evaluate and treat injuries, test for and treat sexually transmitted diseases and test for and respond to a potential pregnancy.

The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center will ensure that a sexual assault survivor has access to advocacy and support, both within the facility and in the community, from community programs.

If Law Enforcement conducts an investigation, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff will not assume that the resident has been referred for advocacy and support services. Mental Health staff or the PREA Coordinator will ensure that the resident is referred for services.

Interviews with staff indicate they understand the duties of a first responder.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.264 - Staff first responder duties.

## 115.265 Coordinated response Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Documents: 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum pages 12-13 2. Sexual Abuse Coordinated Team Response 3. PREA Intervention and Response Checklist 4. PREA Report Procedures 5. Debrief Incident Review form Interviews conducted with: 1. Facility Director 2. PREA Coordinator 115.265 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has a written plan that includes immediate notification to the facility Director, PREA Coordinator, law enforcement and sexual assault advocates. The Director stated during his interview that staff is trained to follow the PREA Intervention and Response Checklist, which includes but is not limited to separating the involved individuals, contacting law enforcement, maintaining evidence integrity, contacting the PREA Coordinator, and Community Partners, and assisting in transport if necessary. The Coordinated Response plan delineates specific responsibilities for First Responders and the support staff. First Responders are expected to ensure that the alleged victim and abuser are separated. Ensure that support staff remains with the alleged victim. All security staff and potential first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and

The Coordinated Response plan delineates specific responsibilities for First Responders and the support staff. First Responders are expected to ensure that the alleged victim and abuser are separated. Ensure that support staff remains with the alleged victim. All security staff and potential first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and immediately notify the appropriate mental health practitioners. Notify 911 if emergent. Notify Director/PREA Coordinator. Ensure that evidence (crime scene) is preserved and protected for evidence collection. This includes securing or partitioning off the area where the incident occurred. Law enforcement will collect evidence from the scene. Request that the alleged victim not take actions that could destroy physical evidence. This may include washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, eating, or drinking. Ensure that the alleged perpetrator is monitored. Ensure that the alleged perpetrator does not destroy physical evidence. This may include washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, eating, or drinking.

Interviews with the Facility Director and PREA Coordinator confirm the use of the PREA Intervention and Response Checklist and the PREA Report Procedures. The Lead Security Monitor was able to show the Auditor where the PREA Intervention and Response Checklist was located in the Control Center and explained how the form would be utilized during an incident.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.265 - Coordinated response.

| 115.266 | Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers  |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |
|         | Interviews conducted with   |
|         | Agency Head     Human Resource Staff  |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has no limit on its ability to remove alleged sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation. No collective bargaining agreement would prohibit immediate action to protect residents. The Agency Head and Human Resource staff confirm there is no prohibition against removing alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with residents. |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.266 - Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers.   |

## 115.267 Agency protection against retaliation Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

## Documents:

1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum pages 5 and 10

Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Agency Head
- 2. Facility Director
- 3. Staff who Monitor Retaliation (PREA Coordinator)

115.267(a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center prohibits retaliation against residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with investigations. Residents and employees have a right to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. (NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum page 5) The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Security Supervisor (PREA Coordinator) is the designated staff member to monitor retaliation.

115.267 (b): As indicated in interviews with the Agency Head, Facility Director and Staff who Monitor Retaliation, multiple measures are available, including removal of alleged staff and alleged Resident abusers, housing changes and advocate support.

115.267 (c): Retaliation against residents, employees, or other parties for reporting sexual misconduct will not be tolerated. Those who retaliate may face disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal for employees. Residents will not face disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if the investigation does not establish evidence to substantiate the allegation. Staff or residents who experience retaliation may report such behavior to a board member. Contact information can be obtained on the agency website and is posted on bulletin boards in the facility. Retaliation will be monitored for a minimum of 90 days by a staff member appointed by the Executive Director, Facility Director or board member and may exceed 90 days if behaviors indicate a need.

115.267 (d): A staff member assigned to monitor retaliation will create a safety plan that may involve housing changes or transfers. The staff member would conduct check-ins with the residents and make sure they understood their right to be free from retaliation. The check-ins could be weekly or monthly, depending on the case and need.

115.267 (e): Residents and employees have a right to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Any individual who cooperates with an investigation is protected against retaliation. Staff members can be moved to alternate posts and shifts or, if necessary, placed on administrative leave until a situation is resolved. Staff members who retaliate against someone, either staff or resident, can also be terminated from employment. Residents can be disciplined and removed from the program if they retaliate against either a staff member or another resident.

In her interview, the PREA Coordinator (Staff member who monitors retaliation) indicated she would ensure that monitoring involving Staff members was appropriate. For instance, if two staff members conflicted, i.e., one retaliated against another for any reason, the PREA Coordinator would be involved in decisions to change posts, place them on administrative leave, or, if necessary, terminate. For residents, the PREA Coordinator said she would follow up at least once a week and change housing or, if necessary, work with the Federal Bureau of Prisons to move the resident to home confinement. The PREA Coordinator would manage and document both resident and staff retaliation.

The PREA Coordinator further indicated she would actively attempt to detect possible retaliation by looking for changes in residents' behavior and how they were doing at work. She would also evaluate the staff member's performance and untimely requests for shift changes or excessive sick leave. Finally, the PREA Coordinator indicated that she would monitor for a minimum of 90 days or as long as the resident or employee was with the organization.

The Auditor reviewed the retaliation log for 2022. There was one documented case. Although there were no specific issues with retaliation, the PREA Coordinator interviewed the resident on July 26, 2022. The PREA Coordinator completed two additional check-ins with the resident, even though there were no reported issues with retaliation on August 26, 2022, and September 20, 2022. The Resident was released on October 6, 2022. The PREA Coordinator indicated weekly checks were not required in this case as no specific reports of retaliation had occurred.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.267 - Agency protection against retaliation.

## 115.271 Criminal and administrative agency investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### **Auditor Discussion**

### Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum
- 2. PREA Reports of Sexual Harassment, Sexual Abuse, Sexual Assault and Staff Sexual Misconduct Log
- 3. Document Review Worksheet Investigation & Response Records

### Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Investigator
- 2. PREA Coordinator
- 3. Facility Director

115.271 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center conducts an administrative investigation of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the investigations begin upon staff notification and are thorough. Third-party reports are investigated in the same manner as direct reports. Any report of sexual abuse that appears to be criminal is referred to the Portland Police Department.

115.271 (b): All Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center investigators have received training specifically, "Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting." That training includes the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, evidence collection, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or criminal referral. The Auditor reviewed the training certificate and interviewed the Investigator. The Investigator was aware of her responsibilities during an investigation; she indicated that the investigation would begin immediately upon notification of an allegation. Any allegation that potentially involved criminal behavior would require police involvement. The Investigator stated she would review memorandums, collect as much data as possible, and write a report. The training reviewed good interpersonal communication skills with resident victims, assailants, and witnesses. Understanding of the dynamics of resident sexual violence. Establishing good working relationships with outside agencies, hospitals, prosecutors, and other investigators.

115.271 (c): Investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence; crime scenes are secured to prevent contamination, and the lead Law Enforcement agency collects physical and DNA evidence. All electronic monitoring data, records, resident files, and staff memorandums are collected by the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center investigator and provided to the lead Law Enforcement agency. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses for administrative investigations. Under normal circumstances, the lead Law Enforcement agency will conduct interviews during a criminal investigation.

115.271 (d) The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Investigators do not conduct compelled interviews; if necessary, those interviews would be conducted by the lead Law Enforcement agency in consultation with the lead Prosecutors office.

115.271 (e): During her interview, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Investigator stated that the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness was based on the individual and not on his status as an inmate or staff. Residents are not subject to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling devices.

115.271 (f): The Auditor reviewed the seven administrative investigations conducted between October 2021 and August 2022. The investigations are thorough and provide information detailing staff actions in response to an incident and whether staff actions in any manner contributed to the abuse Further, the reports describe the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.

115.271 (g) and (h): The lead Law Enforcement agency would document and maintain criminal investigation. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Investigator is provided a summary of the case and the case disposition. The lead Law Enforcement agency maintains all evidence collected during a criminal investigation. The lead Law Enforcement agency refers cases for criminal prosecution based on their investigation. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution.

115.271 (i) and (j): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center retains all written investigative reports for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the Agency, plus five years. An investigation is never terminated due to the departure of the alleged abuser or victim. If a staff member is terminated or resigns, the investigation continues until resolution.

115.271 (I): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Investigator works with the lead Law Enforcement agency and fully cooperates with that Agency during the investigation.

The facility reports that in the last 12 months, there were four allegations of Resident-on Resident sexual abuse and two allegations of Staff-on-Resident sexual abuse. Each of these investigations was conducted locally as an administrative investigation. Additionally, there was one case of Staff-on-Resident sexual harassment, which was also conducted locally as an administrative investigation. Each case contained all the appropriate documentation, and each incident was investigated promptly, thoroughly, and objectively by a qualified Investigator who had received training and education and had the authority to conduct such an investigation. The Auditor noted each file contained documentation, including but not limited to the initial incident report, Investigators' report, and Memorandum. The Auditor noted that each case file was well organized, detailed, and contained the required documentati. Upon the Auditor's review of the seven PREA investigations conducted at the facility, it was clear that multiple evidence-gathering techniques were used to investigate each allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment thoroughly (e.g., interviews from a variety of sources, secondary interviews with key subjects, location of the alleged victim and abuser, and historical video monitoring.)

Interviews with the Investigator, the Facility Director, the PREA Coordinator, and a review of the training records and investigative files address 115.71 (b – I).

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.271 - Criminal and administrative agency investigations.

| Tracking # | Date       | Type of<br>Report                          | Investigator | Type of Investigation | Date<br>Completed | Outcome         | Resident<br>Notified |
|------------|------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 2021-8     | 10/5/2021  | Resident-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>abuse   | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 10/5/2021         | Unfounded       | 10/5/2021            |
| 2021-9     | 11/20/2021 | Staff-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>Harassment | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 11/23/2021        | Unfounded       | 12/7/2021            |
| 2021-10    | 12/21/2021 | Staff-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>Abuse      | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 12/24/2021        | Unfounded       | 12/21/2021           |
| 2022-1     | 03/11/2022 | Staff-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>Abuse      | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 03/25/2022        | Unfounded       | 03/25/2022           |
| 2022-2     | 06/24/2022 | Resident-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>Abuse   | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 6/24/2022         | Unfounded       | 6/24/2022            |
| 2022-3     | 07/24/2022 | Resident-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>Abuse   | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 07/26/2022        | Substantiated   | 07/26/2022           |
| 2022-4     | 08/05/2022 | Resident-<br>Resident<br>Sexual<br>Abuse   | NWRRC        | Administrative        | 08/08/2022        | Unsubstantiated | 08/08/2022           |

| 115.272 | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations   |
|---------|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |
|         | Documents  |
|         | 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 1   |
|         | Interviews conducted with  |
|         | 1. Investigator  |
|         | The Investigative Officer was interviewed concerning the evidential standard for administrative investigation. Her response to the standard of evidence was as follows: "The evidence standard for administrative investigation is a "preponderance of the evidence". The Investigative Officer has received specialized training relevant to PREA. Specifically, "Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting". The Investigative Officer was interviewed and explained to the Auditor in detail the steps to be taken during a PREA-related investigation. |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.272 - Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations.  |

| 115.273 | Reporting to residents  |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |
|         | Documents:  |
|         | 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 11   |
|         | Interviews conducted with:  |
|         | 1. PREA Coordinator   |
|         | 115.273 (a): Residents are informed of the results of the investigation. That information includes whether the staff member is or is not allowed to work in the Resident's unit; whether the staff member is or is not employed; whether the staff member has been indicted, and if the staff member has been convicted. In addition, if the alleged abuser is a resident, the resident victim would be informed if the alleged abuser was indicted or convicted. All notifications are documented.   |
|         | 115.273 (b): The lead Law Enforcement agency would document and maintain criminal investigations. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Investigator is provided a summary of the case and the case disposition. This information would be used to inform the Resident of the outcome of the investigation.  |
|         | 115.273(c): Residents are informed of the results of the investigation. That information includes whether the staff member is or is not allowed to work in the Resident's unit; whether the staff member is or is not employed; whether the staff member has been indicted, and if the staff member has been convicted. All notifications are documented.   |
|         | 115.273 (d) and (e): If the alleged abuser is a resident, the resident victim would be informed if the alleged abuser was indicted or convicted. All notifications are documented.  |
|         | The facility reports that in the last 12 months, there were four allegations of Resident-on Resident sexual abuse and two allegations of Staff-on-Resident sexual abuse. Each of these investigations was conducted locally as an administrative investigation. Additionally, there was one case of Staff-on-Resident sexual harassment, which was also conducted locally as an administrative investigation. Each case contained all the appropriate documentation, and each incident was investigated promptly, thoroughly, and objectively by a qualified Investigator who had received training and education and had the authority to conduct such investigations. The Auditor noted each file contained documentation concerning the notification to the resident. In each case, the Resident was informed of the outcome of the investigation. |
|         | The PREA Coordinator stated the PAQ answer to 115.273 (a)-2 was incorrect. The number of investigations of alleged  |

The PREA Coordinator stated the PAQ answer to 115.273 (a)-2 was incorrect. The number of investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse completed by the agency in the past 12 months was six, not eight. One case was substantiated, one was unsubstantiated, and four were unfounded. In each case, the Resident was informed of the outcome of the investigation.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.273 - Reporting to residents.

## 115.276 Disciplinary sanctions for staff Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Documents: 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 3 2. Human Resource Policy 6.22 and Appendix B: Oregon Senate Bill 89 Definitions of Custodial Misconduct. Interviews conducted with: 1. Human Resource staff 2. PREA Coordinator 115.276 (a-c): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center Staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions, including termination for violating Agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Violation of the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center policies or procedures may result in disciplinary action, including but not limited to demotion, transfer, leave without pay, or termination of employment. The organization encourages a progressive discipline system depending on the type of prohibited conduct. However, the organization is not required to engage in progressive discipline and may discipline or terminate employees who violate the rules of conduct or where the quality or value of their work fails to meet expectations. In support of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Oregon Senate Bill 89 (2005), the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has a zero-tolerance sexual abuse/assault policy. This includes staff-to-resident abuse and resident-to-resident abuse. Abuse by staff includes any sexual act or behavior, either consensual or non-consensual, between an employee,

volunteer, contractor, or official visitor and a resident. Abuse by residents involves sexual contact between a resident and another resident without their consent or with another resident who cannot consent or refuse.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center will immediately respond to, investigate, and support the prosecution of sexual

misconduct in its facility or by its staff elsewhere. Senate Bill 89 made custodial sexual misconduct a felony. Any employee or contractor convicted of this crime in the first degree will be subject to legal penalties, including potential incarceration

115.276 (d): The PREA Coordinator and the Human Resource staff indicated that any staff investigation involving criminal sexual abuse or harassment would be reported to law enforcement. Additionally, appropriate State agencies would be notified if a licensed staff member (Mental Health Specialists) violated the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center zero-tolerance policy.

The number of staff from the facility that has been reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation before termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies is zero.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.276 - Disciplinary sanctions for staff.

## 115.277 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Documents: 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 3 2. Human Resource Policy 6.22 and Appendix B: Oregon Senate Bill 89 Definitions of Custodial Misconduct. Interviews conducted with: 1. Human Resource Staff 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Facility Director 115.277 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center contract staff and volunteers who are found to have violated the agency's zero-tolerance policies will be terminated from service and prohibited from contact with residents. In support of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Oregon Senate Bill 89 (2005), the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has a zerotolerance sexual abuse/assault policy. This includes staff-to-resident abuse and resident-to-resident abuse. Abuse by staff includes any sexual act or behavior, either consensual or non-consensual, between an employee, volunteer, contractor, or official visitor and a resident. Abuse by residents involves sexual contact between a resident and another resident without their consent or with another resident who cannot consent or refuse. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center will immediately respond to, investigate, and support the prosecution of sexual misconduct in its facility or by its staff elsewhere. Senate Bill 89 made custodial sexual misconduct a felony. Any employee or contractor convicted of this crime in the first degree will be subject to legal penalties, including potential incarceration. 115.277 (b): In his interview, the Facility Director indicated any violation of the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center zerotolerance policy by a contractor or volunteer would result in their removal from contact with residents. Additionally, the contractor or volunteer would not be allowed to enter the facility.

There have been zero incidents of contractors or volunteers violating The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center PREA

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.277 - Corrective action for contractors and volunteers.

policies within the past 12 months.

## 115.278 Disciplinary sanctions for residents Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** Documents: 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, pages 2, 10 and11 2. Resident Handbook, pages 5, 6, 7, 31, 40,41,42, and 45 3. Federal Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 5270.09, Inmate Discipline Program Appendix C, Inmate Rights and Responsibilities, and Table 1, Prohibited Acts and Available Sanctions. Interviews conducted with: 1. Mental Health Specialist 2. PREA Coordinator 115.278 (a): Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions following an administrative finding that the Resident engaged in Resident-on-resident sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of Resident-on-resident sexual abuse. In the past 12 months, one Resident was subject to an administrative finding of resident-on-resident sexual abuse. 115.278 (b): The sanctions are commensurate with the circumstances of the abuse committed. Appropriate rights and responsibilities are afforded to the Resident during the disciplinary hearing process. The Auditor reviewed the administrative finding. The Resident who committed the act of sexual abuse (Sexual assault of any person involving non-consensual touching without force or threat of force) was removed from the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Program. The sanction was appropriate. 115.278 (c): The disciplinary process shall consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to

115.278 (c): The disciplinary process shall consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to their behavior when determining what type of sanction if any, should be imposed.

115.278 (d): In his interview, the Mental Health Specialist indicated therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, would be offered to the Resident. The Mental Health Specialist stated he would provide counseling for the Resident or find appropriate community providers who could work with the Resident. The Resident would not be required to participate in the counseling, but the services would be offered.

115.278 (e): A Resident accused of sexual assault/abuse is subject to a Federal Bureau of Prisons investigation and disciplinary process and an investigation by the Portland Police Bureau and prosecution. The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center will discipline a resident for sexual contact with a staff member only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to the contact.

115.278 (f): A report of sexual abuse is always investigated. If a report is made in good faith based upon reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, it will not result in disciplinary action for making a false report (NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum, page 10).

115.278 (g): The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center prohibits all sexual activity between residents and disciplines residents for inappropriate sexual activity. Consensual sexual activity between residents is not considered sexual abuse; however, the activity is still subject to discipline.

In the past 12 months, there has been one administrative finding of resident-on-resident sexual abuse and zero criminal findings of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.278 - Disciplinary sanctions for residents.

## 115.282 Access to emergency medical and mental health services Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### **Auditor Discussion**

### **Documents**

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, pages 12 and 13
- 2. Sexual Abuse Coordinated Team Response
- 3. PREA Intervention and Response Checklist

Interviews conducted with:

- 1. Medical Health Services Coordinator
- 2. Mental Health Specialist

115.282 (a): All victims are provided access to emergency medical treatment at a healthcare facility (Oregon Health and Science University or Legacy Emanuel Medical Center). Both facilities provide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners, and those examiners arrange for sexual assault advocates to meet with the victim. During his interview, the Mental Health Specialist stated that the scope of services he provides is based on his professional judgment. The Mental Health Specialist further stated that he maintains secondary materials documenting crisis intervention services. The Auditor notes that no Medical Health Care professionals are employed at the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center. A Medical Health Services Coordinator coordinates all medical services. The Federal Bureau of Prisons contacts with NaphCare. NaphCare partners with the Federal Bureau of Prisons, providing administrative services, including scheduling appointments and processing claims. The Medical Health Services Coordinator assists in coordinating with the residents and local providers to ensure NaphCare approves all medical care according to the Federal Bureau of Prisons contract. The Medical Health Services Coordinator works with Residents to initiate care with Primary care providers. Once approved, the Medical Health Services Coordinator ensures follow-up care is provided. The Medical Health Services Coordinator works with the resident and NaphCare to ensure continuity of care.

115.282 (b): Any staff member who receives an allegation from a resident that the resident has been sexually abused must immediately:

- Separate the alleged victim and abuser.
- Notify the supervisor, PREA Coordinator, and 911 emergency services if immediate medical attention is necessary.
- · Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect evidence.
- · Contact Mental Health Staff.
- Document the incident.

115.282 (c): Resident victims of sexual abuse would be offered emergency medical treatment in accordance with procedures at the hospital. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Facility does not provide medical care; Community Providers conduct all medical care. Any information or prescriptions concerning emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis would be the responsibility of Community Providers. The Medical Health Services Coordinator would coordinate medical visits in the Community with the Resident.

115.282 (d): NWRRC 2.10 PREA Policy Addendum Page 12 states, "If the reported assault occurred within the past 120 hours, the alleged victim is eligible for a sexual assault exam, at no cost". Additionally, the State of Oregon Medical Guidelines for Sexual Assault Evaluation and the Sexual Assault Kits and Sexual Assault Investigations, Portland Police Bureau, indicate emergency services provided to victims of sexual assault are provided at no cost to the victim.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.282 - Access to emergency medical and mental health services.

| 115.283 | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Documents:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, pages 12 and 13   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Interviews conducted with:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Medical Health Services Coordinator     Mental Health Specialist  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (a): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center provides ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers through community providers, such as Oregon Health and Science University or Legacy Emanuel Medical Center and the Call to Safety.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (b): Appropriate follow-up services, treatment plans and continuing care upon release from custody are available. During his interview, the Mental Health Specialist indicated arrangements would be made with Community Providers to ensure follow-up mental health care was available. Additionally, the Medical Health Services Coordinator stated she would arrange for the Resident's medical care with Community Providers upon release. Community Providers provide all medical treatment. |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (c): Community Providers provide all medical treatment. The Mental Health Specialist indicated the mental health treatment received at the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center is consistent with the community level of care.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.383 (d): The Medical Health Services Coordinator stated she would arrange for the Resident's medical care, and Community Providers would determine treatment plans. While incarcerated, resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration would be offered pregnancy tests.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (e): The Medical Health Services Coordinator stated she would arrange for the Resident's medical care, and Community Providers would determine treatment plans. If a Resident victim became pregnant, Community Providers would provide comprehensive information about access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (f): The Medical Health Services Coordinator stated she would arrange for the Resident's medical care, and Community Providers would determine treatment plans. Resident victims would be given tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (g): The State of Oregon Medical Guidelines for Sexual Assault Evaluation and the Sexual Assault Kits and Sexual Assault Investigations, Portland Police Bureau, indicate emergency services provided to victims of sexual assault are provided at no cost to the victim.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 115.283 (h): The Mental Health Specialist stated during his interview that the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center would attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers and offer treatment by either Northwest Regional Re-entry Center Mental Health staff or Community Providers. Additionally, arrangements would be made for continuing treatment upon release from the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.283 - Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers.  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 115.286 | Sexual abuse incident reviews   |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |
|         | Documents:  |
|         | NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 13  |
|         | NWRRC Incident Review July 2022   |
|         | PREA Incident Review Form   |
|         | NWRRC Annual Report 2021  |
|         | NWRRC Annual Report 2020  |
|         | PREA Vulnerability Risk Assessment 2021   |
|         | PREA Vulnerability Risk Assessment 2022   |
|         | NWRRC OPS manual PREA addendum August 2022.pdf - uncheck to remove  |
|         | Interviews conducted with:  |
|         | Incident Review Team  |
|         | PREA Coordinator  |
|         | Facility Director   |
|         | 115.286 (a): At the conclusion of the investigative process, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center staff conduct a sexual abuse incident review. The Auditor notes that every investigation is reviewed regardless of the outcome. The PREA Coordinator reports one substantiated administrative investigation of alleged sexual abuse was reviewed in the past 12 months. The Auditor notes that the PAQ is incorrect; the number of substantiated and unsubstantiated incident reviews is two. |
|         | 115.286 (b): The review would be scheduled within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 13, indicates the PREA Coordinator will conduct a debrief to include staff first responders, mental health practitioners, investigator and facility leadership within one week to review the incident.  |
|         | 115.286 (c): The review team consists of the Executive Director, Facility Director, PREA Coordinator and relevant staff involved in the investigation. In his interview, the Facility Director stated the Team considers if the incident was caused by race or sexual orientation etc. The Team examines the area and evaluates how monitoring can be improved, and the Team reviews staffing and video monitoring capabilities.  |
|         | 115.286 (d): The review team considers whether the following issues contributed to the incident:  |
|         | · Staffing levels   |
|         | · Camera placement  |

- · Camera placement
- · Physical barriers/blind spots
- · Lack of recent camera monitoring
- Need for Staff training
- Delayed head counts
- $\cdot$   $\;$  Incident/situation elsewhere in the facility requiring staff attention
- Lack of staff in the area.

In their interviews, the Facility Director and the PREA Coordinator stated the Team would also consider if the incident was motivated by race, sexual orientation, gang affiliation or other group dynamics.

115.286 (e): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center implements recommendations for improvement and documents those improvements in the Annual Report. In 2020, the organization improved the physical monitoring of the facility by placing mirrors in area blind spots and also erected fencing to secure an area of the campus that was previously open and unmonitored. The 2021 physical improvements included the installation of three additional security cameras to allow monitoring of the resident retreat area, detox room and second-floor resident clothing closet.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.286 - Sexual abuse incident reviews.

## 115.287 Data collection Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

## Documents:

- 1. NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 13
- 2. NWRRC Aggregated PREA Data 2014 2021

115.287 (a) and (b): The PREA coordinator will compile records and report statistical data annually to the Federal Bureau of Prisons. This statistical data is also utilized for the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center's Annual Report.

115.287 (c): The data collected includes the date of the incident, the names of the victims and perpetrators, the type of report (sexual abuse, sexual harassment, sexual assault or staff sexual misconduct), (Resident-on-Resident, Staff-on-Resident, or Resident-on Staff), the name of the Investigator, the type of Investigation (Administrative or Criminal), the date completed, the outcome of the investigation and date of notification to the Resident.

115.287 (d): The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center maintains all available reports, investigation files and incident reviews.

115.287 (e): The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center is a stand-alone facility; it does not contract with other agencies for the confinement of its residents.

115.287 (f): The Department of Justice has never requested the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center complete a Survey of Sexual Violence. The data collected by the Center is provided to the Federal Bureau of Prisons and is a requirement of the Statement of Work.

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.287 - Data collection.

| YEAR | TOTAL PREA REPORTS  | SUBSTANTIATED | UNSUBSTANTIATED | UNFOUNDED | OTHER                |
|------|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 2014 | 2 Both occurred at other facilities, referred for investigation |               |                 |           | 2 outcome<br>unknown |
| 2015 | 9*  | 4             | 2               | 0         | 3                    |
| 2016 | 5   | 2             | 2               | 1         |                      |
| 2017 | 3   | 0             | 2               | 1         |                      |
| 2018 | 6**   | 2             | 1               | 2         | 1                    |
| 2019 | 10  | 3             | 5               | 2         |                      |
| 2020 | 9***  | 2             | 3               | 2         | 2                    |
| 2021 | 10***   | 0             | 3               | 5         | 2                    |

<sup>\*</sup> Three referred to other institutions for investigations. Outcome Unknown

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star\star}$  One referred to FCI Sheridan for investigation. Outcome unknown

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Two referred to other institutions for investigations. Outcome Unknown

| 115.288 | Data review for corrective action   |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |
|         | Documents:  |
|         | 1. 2021 PREA Annual Report  |
|         | 115.288 (a) (b) and (c): The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center reviews the data, identifies problem areas, takes corrective action, and prepares a final report. The Report assesses the Agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. The Agency Head reviews the Report, which is available online at https://nw-rrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/2021-PREA-Audit-Annual-R eport.pdf.  |
|         | 115.288 (d): The Auditor reviewed the Report, and there was no personally identifiable information. The PREA Coordinator reported the Center does not utilize any personally identifiable information in the Report. No information was redacted from the Report.   |
|         | The Auditor reviewed the Report. The Report contains a brief overview of the Prison Rape Elimination Act and provides the PREA Resource Center website for further information concerning the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The Report contains brief information on the Agency's ongoing efforts to improve sexual safety and maintain a zero-tolerance for PREA-related issues. Based on those efforts, the Report provides information on the steps it has taken to improve sexual safety for the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center residents. Finally, the Report provides aggregated data on PREA-related investigations for the current and previous years. |
|         | The Report is produced by the PREA Coordinator and approved by the Executive Director and Facility Director before publication on the website.  |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.288 - Data review for corrective action.  |

| 115.289 | Data storage, publication, and destruction  |
|---------|---|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard   |
|         | Auditor Discussion  |
|         | Documents:  |
|         | <ol> <li>NWRRC 2.10 Prea Policy Addendum, page 13</li> <li>2021 PREA Annual Report</li> </ol>   |
|         | 115.289 (a- d) Required data is collected, maintained, and available online. The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center maintains this data for ten years after the initial collection date. The data collected includes incident reports, investigation reports, electronic evidence, law enforcement referrals, criminal investigation reports, administrative investigation reports, PREA review committee reports, and retaliation monitoring reports. Personal identifiers are removed before any publication of data. The data is stored electronically and secured by a network access password. Paper copies are stored in a locked fireproof file cabinet in the Facility Director's office. |
|         | The Auditor reviewed the report, and there was no personally identifiable information. The PREA Coordinator reported the Center does not utilize any personally identifiable information in the report. No information was redacted from the report.  |
|         | The Auditor reviewed the report. The report contains a brief overview of the Prison Rape Elimination Act and provides the PREA Resource Center website for further information concerning the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The report contains brief information on the Agency's ongoing efforts to improve sexual safety and maintain a zero-tolerance for PREA-related issues. Based on those efforts, the report provides information on the steps it has taken to improve sexual safety for the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center residents. Finally, the report provides aggregated data on PREA-related investigations for the current and previous years.                                 |
|         | The Report is produced by the PREA Coordinator and approved by the Executive Director and Facility Director before  |

The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.289 - Data storage, publication, and destruction.

publication on the website.

| 115.401 | Frequency and scope of audits  |
|---------|--|
|         | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |
|         | Auditor Discussion   |
|         | 115.401 (a-b) The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center participated in a PREA Audit in July 2016 and July 2019, and this audit (October 2022). During the three years, starting on August 20, 2013, and every three years after that, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center has ensured a PREA Audit was completed.         |
|         | 115.401 (h): The Auditor was provided access to and observed all areas of the audited facility.  |
|         | 115.401 (i): The Auditor reviewed the relevant policies, the Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center procedures, reports, and accreditations. The Auditor was provided a sampling of relevant documents for the most recent one-year period. The Auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of all relevant documents. |
|         | 115.401 (m): The Auditor interviewed Staff, supervisors, and administrators. The Auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with residents.   |
|         | 115.401 (n): Residents were allowed to send confidential information or correspondence to the Auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel.   |
|         | The Northwest Regional Re-entry Center complies with Standard 115.401 - Frequency and scope of audits.   |

| 115.403            | Audit contents and findings  |
|--------------------|--|
|                    | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard  |
| Auditor Discussion |  |
|                    | 115.403 (f). The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center participated in a PREA Audit in July 2016 and July 2019, and this audit (October 2022). Upon completion of the final audit reports, the Northwest Regional Re-entry Center publishes the audit results on its website. This task was completed within 90 days of the completion of the audit. Each of the previous audits is available on the website. |
|                    | The Northwest Regional Re-Entry Center complies with Standard 115.403 - Audit contents and findings.   |

| Appendix: Provision Findings |  |     |  |
|------------------------------|--|-----|--|
| 115.211 (a)                  | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator   |     |  |
|                              | Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?   | yes |  |
|                              | Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |  |
| 115.211 (b)                  | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator   |     |  |
|                              | Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?   | yes |  |
|                              | Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?   | yes |  |
|                              | Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its community confinement facilities?   | yes |  |
| 115.212 (a)                  | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents   |     |  |
|                              | If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) | na  |  |
| 115.212 (b)                  | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents   |     |  |
|                              | Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)  | na  |  |
| 115.212 (c)                  | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents   |     |  |
|                              | If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)  | na  |  |
|                              | In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)  | na  |  |
| 115.213 (a)                  | Supervision and monitoring   |     |  |
|                              | Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse?   | yes |  |
|                              | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility?   | yes |  |
|                              | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population?   | yes |  |
|                              | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?  | yes |  |
|                              | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?   | yes |  |

| 115.213 (b) | Supervision and monitoring  |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (NA if no deviations from staffing plan.)   | na  |
| 115.213 (c) | Supervision and monitoring  |     |
|             | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?   | yes |
|             | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns?  | yes |
|             | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?   | yes |
|             | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels?   | yes |
| 115.215 (a) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |     |
|             | Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?  | yes |
| 115.215 (b) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |     |
|             | Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)   | yes |
|             | Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)  | yes |
| 115.215 (c) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |     |
|             | Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?  | yes |
|             | Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents?  | yes |
| 115.215 (d) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |     |
|             | Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?   | yes |
|             | Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
|             | Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing?  | yes |

| 115.215 (e) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?  | yes |
|             | If the resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? | yes |
| 115.215 (f) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches   |     |
|             | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?   | yes |
|             | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?   | yes |

| 115.216 (a) | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?                         | yes |
|             | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?                        | yes |
|             | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?                      | yes |
|             | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?                       | yes |
|             | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?                            | yes |
|             | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) | yes |
|             | Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?  | yes |
|             | Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?   | yes |
| 115.216 (b) | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient   |     |
|             | Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?  | yes |
|             | Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?   | yes |

| 115.216 (c) | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations? | yes |
| 115.217 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions   |     |
|             | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above ?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?                         | yes |
|             | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above?  | yes |
| 115.217 (b) | Hiring and promotion decisions   |     |
|             | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?   | yes |
| 115.217 (c) | Hiring and promotion decisions   |     |
|             | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?   | yes |
|             | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?                             | yes |
| 115.217 (d) | Hiring and promotion decisions   |     |
|             | Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?   | yes |
| 115.217 (e) | Hiring and promotion decisions   |     |
|             | Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?   | yes |

| 115.217 (f) | Hiring and promotion decisions  |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?  | yes |
| 115.217 (g) | Hiring and promotion decisions  |     |
|             | Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?   | yes |
| 115.217 (h) | Hiring and promotion decisions  |     |
|             | Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)  | yes |
| 115.218 (a) | Upgrades to facilities and technology   |     |
|             | If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)      | na  |
| 115.218 (b) | Upgrades to facilities and technology   |     |
|             | If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated any video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)              | yes |
| 115.221 (a) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations   |     |
|             | If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)   | yes |
| 115.221 (b) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations   |     |
|             | Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  | yes |
|             | Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |

| 115.221 (c) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations  |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?  | yes |
|             | Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?   | yes |
|             | If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?   | yes |
|             | Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?   | yes |
| 115.221 (d) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations  |     |
|             | Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?   | yes |
|             | If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?   | yes |
|             | Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?   | yes |
| 115.221 (e) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations  |     |
|             | As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?  | yes |
|             | As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?   | yes |
| 115.221 (f) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations  |     |
|             | If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)   | yes |
| 115.221 (h) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations  |     |
|             | If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above). | yes |
| 115.222 (a) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations   |     |
|             | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?  | yes |

| 115.222 (b) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations  |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? | yes |
|             | Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency document all such referrals?  | yes |
| 115.222 (c) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations  |     |
|             | If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).)        | yes |
| 115.231 (a) | Employee training   |     |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?   | yes |
| 115.231 (b) | Employee training   |     |
|             | Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?  | yes |
|             | Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?   | yes |
| <u> </u>    |   | l   |

| 115.231 (c) | Employee training   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?  | yes |
|             | In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?  | yes |
| 115.231 (d) | Employee training   |     |
|             | Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?   | yes |
| 115.232 (a) | Volunteer and contractor training   |     |
|             | Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?   | yes |
| 115.232 (b) | Volunteer and contractor training   |     |
|             | Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? | yes |
| 115.232 (c) | Volunteer and contractor training   |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?   | yes |
| 115.233 (a) | Resident education  |     |
|             | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?   | yes |
|             | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?  | yes |
|             | During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?  | yes |
| 115.233 (b) | Resident education  |     |
|             | Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility?   | yes |

| 115.233 (c) | Resident education  |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills?  | yes |
| 115.233 (d) | Resident education  |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?   | yes |
| 115.233 (e) | Resident education  |     |
|             | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?   | yes |
| 115.234 (a) | Specialized training: Investigations  |     |
|             | In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)). | yes |
| 115.234 (b) | Specialized training: Investigations  |     |
|             | Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).   | yes |
|             | Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).   | yes |
|             | Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).   | yes |
|             | Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).   | yes |
| 115.234 (c) | Specialized training: Investigations  |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)   | yes |

| 115.235 (a) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)                           | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)      | yes |
| 115.235 (b) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care   |     |
|             | If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.)   | na  |
| 115.235 (c) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care   |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)   | yes |
| 115.235 (d) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care   |     |
|             | Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)   | yes |
|             | Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)   | na  |
| 115.241 (a) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |
|             | Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents?   | yes |
|             | Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents?  | yes |
| 115.241 (b) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |
|             | Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?   | yes |
|             |  |     |
| 115.241 (c) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |

| 115.241 (d) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?   | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident?  | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident?   | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated?  | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?  | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?  | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization?   | yes |
|             | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?   | yes |
| 115.241 (e) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |
|             | In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?  | yes |
|             | In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?  | yes |
|             | In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?   | yes |
| 115.241 (f) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |
|             | Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?  | yes |
| 115.241 (g) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |     |
|             | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral?  | yes |
|             | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request?   | yes |
|             | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse?  | yes |
|             | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?  | yes |

| 115.241 (h) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness  |               |
|-------------|--|---------------|
|             | Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d) (8), or (d)(9) of this section?   | yes           |
| 115.241 (i) | 15.241 (i) Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness   |               |
|             | Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?   | yes           |
| 115.242 (a) | Use of screening information   |               |
|             | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?  | yes           |
|             | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?  | yes           |
|             | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?   | yes           |
|             | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?  | yes           |
|             | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?  | yes           |
| 115.242 (b) | Use of screening information   |               |
|             | Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident?  | yes           |
| 115.242 (c) | Use of screening information   |               |
|             | When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? | yes           |
|             | When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?   | yes           |
| 115.242 (d) | Use of screening information   |               |
|             | Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?  | yes           |
| 115.242 (e) | Use of screening information   |               |
|             | Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?  | yes           |
|             |  | <del></del> _ |

| 115.242 (f) | Use of screening information   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
|             | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)                | yes |
|             | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)                   | yes |
| 115.251 (a) | Resident reporting   |     |
|             | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?  | yes |
| 115.251 (b) | Resident reporting   |     |
|             | Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?  | yes |
|             | Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?   | yes |
|             | Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?  | yes |
| 115.251 (c) | Resident reporting   |     |
|             | Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?  | yes |
|             | Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?   | yes |
| 115.251 (d) | Resident reporting   |     |
|             | Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?  | yes |
|             | !  |     |

| 115.252 (a) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies  |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Is the agency exempt from this standard?  NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. | no  |
| 115.252 (b) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies  |     |
|             | Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  | yes |
|             | Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  | yes |
| 115.252 (c) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies  |     |
|             | Does the agency ensure that: a resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
|             | Does the agency ensure that: such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
| 115.252 (d) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies  |     |
|             | Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
|             | If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
|             | At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
| 115.252 (e) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies  |     |
|             | Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  | yes |
|             | Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)        | yes |
|             | If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |

| 115.252 (f) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  | yes |
|             | After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
|             | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  | yes |
|             | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
|             | Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
|             | Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
|             | Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  | yes |
| 115.252 (g) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies   |     |
|             | If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)   | yes |
| 115.253 (a) | Resident access to outside confidential support services  |     |
|             | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?   | yes |
|             | Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible?   | yes |
| 115.253 (b) | Resident access to outside confidential support services  |     |
|             | Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?  | yes |
| 115.253 (c) | Resident access to outside confidential support services  |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?  | yes |
| 115.254 (a) | Third party reporting   |     |
|             | Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?   | yes |
|             | Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?  | yes |

| 115.261 (a) | Staff and agency reporting duties  |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?                       | yes |
| 115.261 (b) | Staff and agency reporting duties  |     |
|             | Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? | yes |
| 115.261 (c) | Staff and agency reporting duties  |     |
|             | Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?   | yes |
|             | Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?  | yes |
| 115.261 (d) | Staff and agency reporting duties  |     |
|             | If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?   | yes |
| 115.261 (e) | Staff and agency reporting duties  |     |
|             | Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?   | yes |
| 115.262 (a) | Agency protection duties   |     |
|             | When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?   | yes |
| 115.263 (a) | Reporting to other confinement facilities  |     |
|             | Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?  | yes |
| 115.263 (b) | Reporting to other confinement facilities  |     |
|             | Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?  | yes |
| 115.263 (c) | Reporting to other confinement facilities  |     |
|             | Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?   | yes |
| 115.263 (d) | Reporting to other confinement facilities  |     |
|             | Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?   | yes |

| 115.264 (a) | Staff first responder duties   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?   | yes |
|             | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?  | yes |
|             | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?     | yes |
|             | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| 115.264 (b) | Staff first responder duties   |     |
|             | If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?   | yes |
| 115.265 (a) | Coordinated response   |     |
|             | Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?  | yes |
| 115.266 (a) | Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers   |     |
|             | Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?                           | yes |
| 115.267 (a) | Agency protection against retaliation  |     |
|             | Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?   | yes |
|             | Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?  | yes |
| 115.267 (b) | Agency protection against retaliation  |     |
|             | Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?  | yes |

| 115.267 (c) | Agency protection against retaliation   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?          | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?  | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports?  | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency:4. Monitor resident housing changes?   | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes?   | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?  | yes |
|             | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignment of staff?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?  | yes |
| 115.267 (d) | Agency protection against retaliation   |     |
|             | In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?   | yes |
| 115.267 (e) | Agency protection against retaliation   |     |
|             | If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?   | yes |
| 115.271 (a) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)   | yes |
|             | Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  | yes |
| 115.271 (b) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234?  | yes |

| 115.271 (c) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?  | yes |
|             | Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?  | yes |
|             | Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?   | yes |
| 115.271 (d) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?  | yes |
| 115.271 (e) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?   | yes |
| 115.271 (f) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?  | yes |
|             | Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?   | yes |
| 115.271 (g) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?  | yes |
| 115.271 (h) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?  | yes |
| 115.271 (i) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?   | yes |
| 115.271 (j) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?  | yes |
| 115.271 (I) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations   |     |
|             | When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) | yes |
| 115.272 (a) | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations  |     |
|             | Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?  | yes |

| 115.273 (a) | Reporting to residents  |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?  | yes |
| 115.273 (b) | Reporting to residents  |     |
|             | If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)  | yes |
| 115.273 (c) | Reporting to residents  |     |
|             | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?  | yes |
|             | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?   | yes |
|             | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?      | yes |
|             | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.273 (d) | Reporting to residents  |     |
|             | Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?  | yes |
|             | Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?   | yes |
| 115.273 (e) | Reporting to residents  |     |
|             | Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?   | yes |
| 115.276 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff  |     |
|             | Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?  | yes |
| 115.276 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff  |     |
|             | Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?  |     |

| 115.276 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff  |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.276 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff  |     |
|             | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?  | yes |
|             | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?   | yes |
| 115.277 (a) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers  |     |
|             | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?  | yes |
|             | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?  | yes |
|             | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?  | yes |
| 115.277 (b) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers  |     |
|             | In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?  | yes |
| 115.278 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents  |     |
|             | Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?  | yes |
| 115.278 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents  |     |
|             | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?  | yes |
| 115.278 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents  |     |
|             | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?   | yes |
| 115.278 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents  |     |
|             | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?   | no  |
| 115.278 (e) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents  |     |
|             | Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?  | yes |

| 115.278 (f) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?  | yes |
| 115.278 (g) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents   |     |
|             | Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)  | yes |
| 115.282 (a) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services   |     |
|             | Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?  | yes |
| 115.282 (b) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services   |     |
|             | If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?   | yes |
|             | Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?   | yes |
| 115.282 (c) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services   |     |
|             | Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?   | yes |
| 115.282 (d) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services   |     |
|             | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?   | yes |
| 115.283 (a) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers  |     |
|             | Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?   | yes |
| 115.283 (b) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers  |     |
|             | Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?   | yes |
| 115.283 (c) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers  |     |
|             | Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?  | yes |
| 115.283 (d) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers  |     |
|             | Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes |

| 115.283 (e) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes |
| 115.283 (f) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers   |     |
|             | Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?   | yes |
| 115.283 (g) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers   |     |
|             | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?  | yes |
| 115.283 (h) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers   |     |
|             | Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?  | yes |
| 115.286 (a) | Sexual abuse incident reviews   |     |
|             | Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?   | yes |
| 115.286 (b) | Sexual abuse incident reviews   |     |
|             | Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?  | yes |
| 115.286 (c) | Sexual abuse incident reviews   |     |
|             | Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?   | yes |
| 115.286 (d) | Sexual abuse incident reviews   |     |
|             | Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?   | yes |
|             | Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?   | yes |
|             | Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?  | yes |
|             | Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?  | yes |
|             | Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?  | yes |
|             | Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?  | yes |
| 115.286 (e) | Sexual abuse incident reviews   |     |
|             | Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?  | yes |

| 115.287 (a) | Data collection   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?  | yes |
| 115.287 (b) | Data collection   |     |
|             | Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?   | yes |
| 115.287 (c) | Data collection   |     |
|             | Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?  | yes |
| 115.287 (d) | Data collection   |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?  | yes |
| 115.287 (e) | Data collection   |     |
|             | Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.)  | na  |
| 115.287 (f) | Data collection   |     |
|             | Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)  | na  |
| 115.288 (a) | Data review for corrective action   |     |
|             | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?   | yes |
|             | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?  | yes |
|             | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? | yes |
| 115.288 (b) | Data review for corrective action   |     |
|             | Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?   | yes |
| 115.288 (c) | Data review for corrective action   |     |
|             | Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?  | yes |
| 115.288 (d) | Data review for corrective action   |     |
|             | Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?   | yes |
| 115.289 (a) | Data storage, publication, and destruction  |     |
|             | Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?   | yes |

| 115.289 (b) | Data storage, publication, and destruction   |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
|             | Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?  | yes |
| 115.289 (c) | Data storage, publication, and destruction   |     |
|             | Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?   | yes |
| 115.289 (d) | Data storage, publication, and destruction   |     |
|             | Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?   | yes |
| 115.401 (a) | Frequency and scope of audits  |     |
|             | During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)                        | yes |
| 115.401 (b) | Frequency and scope of audits  |     |
|             | Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)  | yes |
|             | If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)      | na  |
|             | If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) | na  |
| 115.401 (h) | Frequency and scope of audits  |     |
|             | Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?   | yes |
| 115.401 (i) | Frequency and scope of audits  |     |
|             | Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?   | yes |
| 115.401 (m) | Frequency and scope of audits  |     |
|             | Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents?  | yes |
| 115.401 (n) | Frequency and scope of audits  |     |
|             | Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?  | yes |

| 115.403 (f) | Audit contents and findings   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) | yes |